

**From:** [Info](#)  
**To:** [Seaplanes](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SEAPLANE DISCUSSION PAPER [SEC=OFFICIAL]  
**Date:** Tuesday, 15 June 2021 8:55:43 AM

---

**OFFICIAL**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**OFFICIAL**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Saturday, 12 June 2021 2:31 PM  
**To:** Info <info@nca.gov.au>  
**Subject:** SEAPLANE DISCUSSION PAPER

Hello, I have just read the "Seaplanes on Lake Burly Griffin" discussion paper and wish to register my opposition to the project. There is no compelling case for non-airport based aircraft, nor even a suggestion that passengers cannot fly into Canberra using existing providers more efficiently, more cheaply and with less climate change impacts. The whole proposal is a novelty best described as a modern folly. I am particular concerned about noise and reject the juvenile comparisons to a leaf blower and the like. There is no business case supporting this proposal, nor even the beginning of a suggestion it is in the public good. There are several wealthy people that may see it as an opportunity to become more wealthy, but otherwise is little more than a collection of misleading graphics, most of which do not relate to the actual aircraft being used. It is inevitable there will be a legal challenge by local apartment and/or home owners re nuisance and, sadly, it is highly probable that there will be an accident, probably involving unknowing people in the wrong place at the wrong time. It will not be me explaining a totally foreseeable tragedy to a coroner, but is probably something the NCA executive should consider. Potentially, producing a predictable fatality by creating unnecessary risk could result in calls for personal liability. In short, this is a waste of everyone's time and effort. It is a project without merit that does nothing more than make more money for a few already wealthy people. It is a folly.

Regards, George Rooks