No.	Botanical Name	Height	No. Trunks	Trunk circ.	Trunk dia.	Canopy dia.	Health and Vigour (G-good, F-fair, P-poor, DW-deadwood)	Structural defects and decay	Past Damage or root disturbance	Disease or infestation	Stage (Juvenile, Semi-mature, Mature, Over mature)	SULE (Safe Useful Life Expectancy) S <10yrs; M 10-25yrs; L >25yrs.	Quality - Low (L), Poor (P), Medium (M), High (H), Exceptional (E)	Tree Act Status (Yes, No, TaMS)
40	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	15.5	1	1.3	0.4	10	F	All closely planted in groups of 3 to 4. Close proximity of planting has resulted in reduced quality of canopy and form overall. Increased DW present on majority of lower limbs with only top 1/3rd of tree presenting healthy canopy in best case scenarios. Tree 47 is largest, healthiest and most impressive of entire copse.	none apparent	NA	Mature	M	M	Y
41	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	16	1	1.4	0.45	11	F				Mature	M	M	Y
42	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	13	1	0.9	0.3	12	P				Semi-mature	M	M-	Y
43	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	17	2	2.0	0.65	12	F				Mature	M	M	Y
44	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	16	1	0.8	0.24	4	F				Mature	S	M	Y
45	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	17	1	1.1	0.36	10	P				Mature	M	M-	Y
46	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	18	1	1.4	0.45	11	P				Mature	S	P	Y
47	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	18.5	1	1.9	0.6	14	G				Mature	L	H	Y
48	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	15.5	1	1.4	0.45	14	G				Mature	M	M+	Y
49	CASUARINA cunninghamiana	14.5	1	0.9	0.3	7	F				Semi-mature	M	M-	Y
50	EUCALYPTUS cinerea	14	1	1.9	0.6	12	F - little to no DW	1 No. previously limb removed, good form overall	none apparent	NA	Mature	M	M	Y
51	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	13.5	1	1.3	0.4	9	P - 40% DW	Significant dieback & minor coppice growth	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	P	Y
52	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	12	1	1.3	0.4	12	P - 40% DW	Significant dieback & minor coppice growth	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	P	Y
53	EUCALYPTUS rubida	12.2	1	0.9	0.3	9	F - good form	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	M	M	Y
54	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	13	1	0.9	0.28	7	F - good form	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	M	M	Y
55	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	15.6	1	1.3	0.4	12	F - some minor DW, sparse canopy foliage	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Mature	S	P	Y
56	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	13	1	0.8	0.25	9	F / P - DW, sparse canopy foliage	Dieback occuring to upper canopy	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	P	Y
57	EUCALYPTUS bridgesiana	10.5	1	1.3	0.4	14	G - 2nd trunk removed at base, minor DW	none apparent	minnor fungal growth	NA	Semi-mature	M	M	Y
58	EUCALYPTUS bridgesiana	13	1	0.9	0.3	8	G - DW to lower limbs	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	M	M	Y
59	EUCALYPTUS bridgesiana	14	1	1.9	0.6	15	F	Splitting on trunk, OC, kino	ground very soft underfoot at base, may be unsound	small fungy present	Mature	M	M	Y
60	EUCALYPTUS bridgesiana	13.5	2	2.4	0.75	16	F - canopy appears ok but poor trunk structure	deviation in trunk / fracture @ 1.2m AGL causing severe kino	Some surface roots from erosion	fungal rot in wound at kino	Mature	M	M	Y
61	EUCALYPTUS mannifera ssp maculosa	14	1	1.4	0.45	9	F - minor to no DW present	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Mature	M	P	Y
62	EUCALYPTUS mannifera ssp maculosa	13	6	2.6	0.84	9	G - healthy growth	Multi trunk result of lopped trunk and new basal growth	none apparent	NA	Mature	M	M	Y
63	QUERCUS robur	13	1	1.6	0.5	16	G - appears healthy, good form	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Mature	L	M	Y
64	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	14	1	1.6	0.5	15	F - lower limbs DW, upper canopy healthy	Some dieback occuring in lower limbs	none apparent	NA	Mature	M	M	Y
65	EUCALYPTUS bicostata	17	1	1.3	0.4	18	G - appears healthy, good form	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Mature	L	H	Y
66	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	15	1	1.3	0.4	10	P - 90% DW	Dieback throughout canopy	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	L	Y
67	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	18	1	1.3	0.4	8	Dead			NA	-	-	Dead	-
68	EUCALYPTUS melliodora	15	1	1.3	0.4	12	F - Sparse canopy, minor DW	Minor dieback	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	L	Y
69	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	13	1	0.8	0.25	8	F - DW partial present	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	L	Y
70	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	13.5	1	1.6	0.5	15	F - DW throughout	Slight lean	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	L	Y
71	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	17	1	1.6	0.5	12	F - minor DW	Some scarring on lower trunk	none apparent	NA	Mature	S	L	Y
72	QUERCUS robur	13	1	1.3	0.4	16	G - appears healthy, good form	none apparent	Prolific saplings beneath but unlikely from suckers	NA	Mature	L	H	Y
73	SORBUS domestica	10	9	4.1	1.3	12	L- weed species	DW stump within tighly forked trunks from base	none apparent	NA	Mature	M	M	N
74	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	13	1	1.7	0.55	16	F - all trees of group contain some minor level of DW and generally poor canopy foliage density	Generally poor structural form due to close proximity of planting within group (5 actual trees within group), some coppice growth, OC and minor trunk scarring present.	none apparent	NA	mature	S	P	Y
75	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	15	1	1.4	0.45	12						S	P	Y
76	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	12	1	1.7	0.55	16						S	P	Y
77	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	14	1	1.1	0.36	12						S	P	Y
78	EUCALYPTUS cinerea	13	3	3.0	0.95	14	F	removed DW stump with decay as part of greater trunk	none apparent	NA	Mature	S	P	Y
79	EUCALYPTUS cinerea	14	1	1.6	0.5	10	F	none apparent	none apparent	NA	Mature	S	P	Y
80	EUCALYPTUS blakelyi	13	1	1.4	0.45	10	P	Bark+foliage burnt to 1 face of trunk from likely torched car located beneath.	none apparent	NA	Semi-mature	S	P	Y

TREE ASSESSMENT CARRIED OUT 26th November 2010 and update 21 November 2016.

© COPYRIGHT 2010
COPYRIGHT AND PROPERTY OF ENVIRO LINKS DESIGN PTY LTD.
THIS PLAN MAY ONLY BE USED FOR THE SPECIFIC PROJECT AND TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT FOR WHICH IT WAS COMMISSIONED.
UNAUTHORISED USE IS PROHIBITED.

FIGURED DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE TAKEN IN PREFERENCE TO SCALED DIMENSIONS. CHECK DIMENSIONS ON SITE.

NO.	REVISION	DATE
A	FOR DOMA GROUP ISSUE	22.11.16
A	FOR DOMA GROUP ISSUE	29.11.16

NOTES:

Please note the inspection was limited to visual review by a Landscape Architect without coring, probing, excavation, dissection and laboratory analysis. No qualified Arborist review was undertaken. There is no guarantee or warranty that problems or deficiences in the assessed trees may not arise in the near future or once construction activities commence.

It should be noted that trees are not geometric elements; their positions, shapes, sizes and heights as indicated by ELD are indicative only. Field checks are required on any tree or items or detail which are considered critical to the design.

CLIENT
DOMA GROUP

SURVEYOR
LAND DATA

ARCHITECT
STEWART ARCHITECTURE

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT
enviro links design
LANDSCAPE - WATER - GOLF
ENVIRONMENT - ECO RESORTS
5 / 61 Dundas Court PHILLIP ACT 2606
PO Box 88 WARAMANGA ACT 2611
Ph:(02) 6281 6066 Fax:(02) 6281 6055
www.envirolinks.com.au

SCALE
NTS

PROJECT
CSIRO HQ– CAMPBELL

DRAWING
TREE ASSESSMENT

JOB NO. 1058
DRAWING NO. TA–L02

THIS REVISION:

DRAWN	DESIGN	APP'D	DATE
RH	PM	JRD	29.11.16

SHEET NO. 3 of 4
REVISION A