Appendix D – Tree Assessment Report

CITY TO LAKE WEST BASIN – CANBERRA May 2014

TREE ASSESSMENT AND REPORT



Prepared for



Prepared by



Table of Contents

OVERVIEW	
TREE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	
ARBORICULTURAL CRITERIA DEFINITIONS	
URBAN AMENITY CRITERIA DEFINITIONS	
LANDSCAPE TREE GROUPS DEFINITIONS	
TREE ASSESSMENT - ARBORICULTURAL ASSESSMENT RATING DEFINITIONS	
TREE ASSESSMENT - CONTRIBUTION TO URBAN AMENITY RATING DEFINITIONS	
TREE PROTECTION / MANAGEMENT FOR TREES TO BE RETAINED	
TREE MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT DEFINITIONS	
ASSESSMENT DATA	
RECOMMENDATIONS	_
NOTES / DISCLAIMER	
APPENDIX A – TREE ASSESSMENT DATA	
APPENDIX B – TREE ASSESSMENT DRAWINGS	
APPENDIX C - TREE ASSESSMENT SHEETS	

OVERVIEW

Introduction

SPACELAB were commissioned to produce a tree assessment and report for the new key project under the draft City Plan, City to the Lake, for the Land Development Agency (LDA). This site is known as City to the Lake, West Basin.

This report follows the objectives of the brief being:

- To quantify and assess the trees and stands of remnant vegetation located within the site area; and
- To identify and assist:
 - The health and amenity of existing trees and remnant vegetation;
 - o Trees for retention in accordance with the ACT Tree Protection Act; and
 - Trees and significant stands of less mature trees deemed to possess potential contribution to urban amenity.

The Tree Assessment Methodology developed by the LDA was used to assess the trees and stands of vegetation and all data was entered into the Tree Assessment Proforma supplied by the LDA.

Recommendations in this report will assist in the development of the site.



Figure 1: Project site

Site Description

The site is located between the foreshore of Lake Burley Griffin West Basin, and the major distributor road of Parkes Way in the suburb of Acton, ACT. The site is commonly known as Acton Park.

The site is envisaged to comprise:

- A new Canberra Aquatic Centre,
- A new National Cultural Institution,
- Revitalised waterfront public realm, including interpretive boardwalk and water gardens,
- Vehicular penetration and permeability, including direct extension of Marcus Clarke, and
- The West Basin Estate.

The extents of the assessment are defined in the assessment plans associated with this report. Refer DWG No: TA1-TA10. The site extent is also identified in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Project site - extent defined by dashed line.

Trees in this report are located along the shorelines and throughout the parkland that contains open space, a shared bicycle path, a series of open car parking lots, a futsal court, a building that houses a bike rental, and a ferry boat and paddle boat jetty and rental structure. The area is subject to special conditions outlined in the National Capital Authority's Lake Burley Griffin Management Plan.

TREE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The following information for each assessed tree is presented in this report.

Tree Number/Group:

A unique reference number is assigned to each tree or group of trees and described in terms of GIS co-ordinates. Each tree/group of trees is numbered and referenced to the Plan and Report as applicable.

Regulated Tree:

Is the Tree considered to be a Regulated tree in accordance with the ACT Tree Protection Act, 2005

Y - Yes

N - No

Registered Tree:

Is the Tree listed on the Provisional Tree Register or the Tree Register? Reference check to be undertaken by visiting

http://www.tams.act.gov.au/live/environment/urbantreeprotectionintheact/acttreeregister

If registered notate the unique reference number allocated by the Act Territory Government.

General Tree Data

Assessment Date

Date field assessment undertaken

Assessor

Name of field assessor

Tree Location

GIS location, ACT grid coordinates, Stromlo Projection, Easting's and Northings of tree position.

Species

Botanical and Common Name

Height

Height in metres

Canopy Spread

Canopy diameter in metres shown as the maximum crown width of the tree or group of trees

Trunk Circumference

For Single Trunks circumference in millimetres, measured 1 metre above ground level

Page | - 4 -

For multiple trunks the cumulative total of each trunk in millimetres at 1 metre above ground level

Number of Trunks

For single trees: number of trunks at 1 metre above ground level; For groups of trees: general average number of trunks.

Recommendation

Recommendation is based on the professional judgement by the Arborist and/or Landscape Architect and/or Landscape Consultant following evaluation of the overall components of the full assessment.

Retain/Manage Retain and manage the tree

Or

Remove Remove the tree

ARBORICULTURAL CRITERIA DEFINITIONS

Each tree has been assessed with the following arboricultural criteria. The definitions of values presented on the assessment sheets is provided below:

Canopy Density:

Relative density of canopy foliage

- 3 Full canopy (80% to 100%)
- 2 Part canopy (20% to 80%)
- 1 Sparse canopy (<20%)

Canopy Dead Wood:

Amount of dead wood in the canopy as a % of the canopy:

- 3 0% to 20% dead wood
- 2 20% to 60% dead wood
- 1 60% to 100% dead wood

Insect Attack:

Evidence of insect attack:

- 3 None
- 2 Moderate
- 1 Significant

Disease:

Evidence of disease present:

- 3 None
- 2 Moderate
- 1 Significant

Epicormic Growth:

Presence of epicormic growth:

- 3 None
- 2 Moderate
- 1 Significant

Mistletoe:

Presence of mistletoe in canopy:

- 3 None
- 2 Up to 5 clumps (moderate)
- 1 More than 5 clumps

Form:

Canopy balance and distribution - relative to the normal habit of the tree species:

- 4 Typical of species
- 3 Stunted
- 2 Unbalanced/lopsided canopy
- 1 Trunk lean approximately 30 or more off vertical

SPACELAB Studio Pty Ltd

Page | - 6 -

Age:

Age category:

- 4 Juvenile
- 3 -Semi- Mature Adolescent
- 2 Mature
- 1 Over-Mature Senescent limited life expectancy

Tolerance to Disturbance:

Tolerance to disturbance within the tree protection zone based on species characteristics and site conditions:

- 3 High, tree species generally tolerant of some site disturbance,
- 2 Medium, tree species that may tolerate limited site disturbance,
- 1 Low, tree species generally highly sensitive to site disturbance.

Risk Potential:

Risk potential/structural integrity associated with trunk and major branches. Comment on the risk in the context of future land use if known and/or recommend incompatible land uses.

3 - Low risk potential

- good structural integrity with low risk potential
- may require minimal or no short term horticultural maintenance

2 - Medium risk potential

- poor branch unions, narrow angle branch forks or multiple leaders etc
- risk can be mitigated and managed by tree surgery and horticulture maintenance techniques

1 - Significant risk potential

- · decay within trunk or major branches and/or
- prevalence of hollows or decay and/or
- · depressed sections of the trunk indicative of underlying health issue and/or
- storm damage or physical and/or
- risk cannot be mitigated by extensive tree surgery or horticultural techniques

Health/Condition:

Overall health and condition of the tree based on arboricultural assessment of crown and trunk of the tree:

4: Excellent 2: Fair 3: Good 1: Poor

SPACELAB Studio Pty Ltd

5/97 Northbourne Avenue Turner ACT 2612 Tel: +61 2 6262 6363 Email: contact@spacelab.net.au

Revision B

URBAN AMENITY CRITERIA DEFINITIONS

Each tree has been assessed with the following Urban Amenity criteria. The definitions of values presented on the assessment sheets is provided below:

Contribution to Existing Landscape Character:

What level of contribution does the tree make to the existing landscape setting?

- 3 Significant
- 2 Moderate
- 1 None

Potential Contribution to Future Landscape Character:

If retained, what level of contribution does the tree(s) potentially have for future landscape settings?

- 3 Significant could provide significant landscape character
- 2 Moderate
- 1 None

Visual / Scenic:

Visual prominence and scenic quality of the tree when viewed from within and beyond the site based on its position in the landscape and its form, condition, spatial arrangement, health and size:

- 3 High Visually prominent landform and exposed to significant public viewing (either now and/or in the future)
- 2 Medium visually prominent location or existing exposure to public view
- 1 Low not exposed to existing public, scenic value important to future local urban development

Unique species:

Based on the rarity or commonness of the species in the region or growing at the extent or outside of its normal range and the abundance of the species within its geographic range:

- 2 Rare
- 1 Common

Habitat Quality:

Based on the potential to retain or attract native fauna:

- 3 Provides significant habitat to native birds or arboreal animals either due to its abundance or ecological diversity or as a result of limited availability
- 2 Ability to retain or attract native wildlife including invertebrates
- 1 No habitat opportunity for native fauna or known to harbour exotic pests

Habitat Value:

Habitat value provided by tree e.g. considering nesting hollows, shelter, seed pods, nectar, roosts etc

High Value

- 4 Food source or nesting hollows for endangered species specialised
- 3 Locally occurring habitat- non-specialised

Limited Value - Low

- 2 No identifiable habitat shelter only
- 1 Potential for harbouring pest species

Cultural Value:

Does the tree have cultural/heritage value? If so is it documented or how is it

2 - High - Yes - describe (anecdotal/referenced)

1 - Low - None known

Social Value:

Does the tree possess social context e.g. is there community connection to its planting or location? And if so is it documented / how is it known

2 - High - Yes - describe (anecdotal/referenced)

1 - Low - None known

Scientific Value:

Does the tree possess scientific interest? e.g. genetic, stunted growth, curious habit, habitat, climatic range. If so how is it documented, or how is it known.

2 - High - Yes - describe (reference)

1 - Low - None known

Remnant Species:

Is the tree a remnant species?

2 - Yes therefore contributes to natural biodiversity (Highly Valued in terms of local ecology and genetics)

1 - No - i.e. planted native species, self sown exotic, planted exotic

5/97 Northbourne Avenue Turner ACT 2612

SPACELAB Studio Pty Ltd Tel: +61 2 6262 6363 Email: contact@spacelab.net.au Revision B

LANDSCAPE TREE GROUPS DEFINITIONS

The assessment of landscape trees that are clearly identifiable as dense uniform landscape groups to be assessed as groups for their potential contribution to future urban amenity. The groups are to be considered and assessed on the same bases as individual trees.

- 3- An identifiable group of trees that when considered as a whole meet at least one of the values for Tree Quality Classification of Exceptional Quality.
- 2 A clearly identifiable group of landscape trees that includes trees that meet the requirements for assessment under the Tree Protection Act, 2005 and has the potential to contribute to the future urban amenity.
- 1 A clearly identifiable group of landscape trees that may include trees that do not meet the requirements for assessment under the Tree Protection Act, 2005 and has the potential to contribute to the future urban amenity.

TREE ASSESSMENT - ARBORICULTURAL ASSESSMENT RATING DEFINITIONS

Each assessed tree has been provided with an aboricultural quality rating.

The Arborcultural Assessment is the culmination of the assessment of Arborcultural Criteria best fitting the following statements (see also Arborcultural Criteria).

E <u>Exceptional</u> meets most or all of the following

- Mature specimen
- Well balanced grand and/or outstanding appearance and stature
- Little or no evidence of:
 - o insect or parasitic attack and/or disease
 - o epicormic growth and/or
 - o dead wood and/or
 - o physical damage

H High meets one of the following

- Mature Tree specimen
 - Tree structure, appearance form and balance is considered typical
 - Little no evidence of insect/parasite attack, epicormic growth and/or dead wood
- Juvenile or adolescent specimen (or group of trees or regeneration) that does not meet the prescribed requirements of the Tree Protection Act which exhibits excellent form and health with potential to:
 - become a Regulated Tree; and
 - contribute positively to the landscape character / urban amenity of the place in the future

M <u>Medium</u> Mature Tree specimen exhibiting some or all of the following characteristics:

- Sparse or pale coloured foliage
- Epicormic growth and/or dead wood throughout the crown
- Evidence of some branch fall
- Less than desirable form

Or (meets this criteria)

- Juvenile or adolescent specimen (or group of trees or regeneration) that
 does not meet the prescribed requirements of the Tree Protection Act. This
 assessment may include tree(s) which exhibit some negative characteristics
 which, with cost effective maintenance and/or management these trees
 have the potential to become:
 - o a regulated tree; and
 - contribute positively to landscape character and / or urban amenity of the place in the future

P Poor Quality or Deteriorating Tree meets the following statement.

Tree assessed as:

- limited life expectancy (less than 5-10 years) and/or
- limited habitat value; and/or
- significant risk potential with regard to:

SPACELAB Studio Pty Ltd
5/97 Northbourne Avenue Turner ACT 2612
Tol. 451 3 5323 5363 Feedly Appendix Brownish and a

CITY TO THE LAKE - WEST BASIN TREE ASSESSMENT AND REPORT

- poor form, health and condition, significant die back or sparse canopy; and/or
- physical damage, disease, decay, susceptible to large limb drop, included bark forks etc

TREE ASSESSMENT - CONTRIBUTION TO URBAN AMENITY RATING DEFINITIONS

Each assessed tree has been provided with an Urban Amenity rating.

The assessment of Urban Amenity¹ Assessment is the culmination of the Urban Amenity Criteria best fitting the following statements (refer also Urban Amenity Criteria).

E Exceptional Urban Amenity

A tree or well defined group of trees that meets at least two of the following:

- Significant Visual Prominence or Scenic Quality
- Unique Species i.e. not common place to the Region
- Significant habitat
- Known Cultural /Heritage Value referenced
- Known Social Value referenced
- Scientific Value referenced

(Note: as an example, a tree, may be considered "Exceptional" on the basis of scientific and known social value but be of poor form/condition and represent a significant hazard).

H High

A tree or well defined group of trees which may or may not attain the status of being a Regulated Tree that exhibit the following:

- good form, health and condition without significant defects; and
- where retained and managed is unlikely to present an unreasonable financial impost or public risk

M Medium

A tree or well defined group of trees that is considered to require expenditure with regard to its long term management to attain a High value and is assessed to be of little (if any) habitat value.

L Low

A tree of poor form, structure or health with little, if any, habitat value i.e. the tree is considered in fundamental decline or likely to represent a significant hazard in an urban context.

1 Urban amenity is considered the form, texture, arrangement and appearance of landscape elements (in this case trees and stands of vegetation) that contribute positively to the character of a place.

TREE PROTECTION / MANAGEMENT FOR TREES TO BE RETAINED

Under the ACT Tree Protection Act (2005) a Protected Tree includes all Regulated Trees and those trees deemed Registered (i.e. a tree that has been included on the ACT Tree Register).

Tree Management Plans are required to accompany the Estate Development Plan for all Protected Trees. Schedule 1 of Notifiable Instrument N12010-586 outlines the guidelines for Tree Management Plans applicable to trees attaining the status of Protected Tree under the Tree Protection Act.

TREE MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT DEFINITIONS

Each tree has been assessed with the following Tree Management criteria. The definitions of values presented on the assessment sheets is provided below:

Potential to Reduce Risk:

Are there arboricultural/horticultural works that can be carried out to reduce potential risks?

- 3 Significant works involving financial investment requiring commitment to long term remedial specialised techniques
- 2 Moderate works requiring commitment to regular horticultural/arboricultural treatment
- 1 None regular on-going management required long term but no obvious immediate management required

Potential to improve amenity value:

Are there arboricultural/horticultural works that can be carried out to improve the potential amenity value of the tree?

- 3 Significant works commitment to regular ongoing horticultural/arboricultural maintenance required (pruning, shaping, spraying) etc to retain urban amenity
- 2 Moderate works commitment to immediate (but not onerous) maintenance to enhance amenity
- 1 None occasional, regular and expected horticultural works may be required not immediate

ASSESSMENT DATA

Refer to Appendix A

RECOMMENDATIONS

The area is a highly modified landscape. The soil and hydrology have been subject to major change. The lake has risen the watertable and the landscape work in the past moved soil and created a less natural profile.

The species planted on the site represent the suite of species used at the time and those capable of accessing the watertable, such as the Populus nigra which has done well, and form an attractive group. Several would not now be planted, including Populus alba, Acer negundo and Salix species.

Species such as Pinus ponderosa are increasingly prone to fungus and gradually die from the pathogen Lophodermium canberrianaum. W Stahl 1966. Tree 1836 has succumbed and tree 1837 will do so in the near future.

The amount of dead wood in the trees is very low in this very high use area due to a good maintenance program.

The use of very closely planted Casuarina cunninghamiana has resulted in many trees in the roadside screen plantings being spindly and unstable.

Three large trees (G9) are very close, and as a result, lean away from each other. If retained, they will require work to hold them together.

The Ulmus carpinifolia along Commonwealth Avenue are generally good and need to be retained for symmetry, although it is unclear how the recent increase in the Elm Leaf Beetle (Pyrrhalta luteola) will affect this species over the next few years.

The Salix species are for the most part, structurally unsound, and will pose very real problems in the future. Similarly, the Populus deltoides have a measure of visual impact but are showing decline.

The trees in the car parks are mostly Eucalyptus nicholii and Eucalyptus mannifera with some Eucalyptus melliodora and large Eucalyptus maideni. All of these trees pose real problems, particularly the Eucalyptus nicholii and the frequently dangerous large trees in the globulous group. Most are typical of plantings in car parks. Whilst not stunted they are not robust due to the hard surface.

The mixed linear plantings along the lake edge are quite poor and will pose problems in the future.

A small number of trees on the site have been rated (H) high in terms of arboricultural rating and one Casuarina cunninghamiana has been rated (E)exceptional. (Tree 1862).

Clearly this tree has done well in the conditions and has probably tapped the watertable.

The three Salix species numbered 1004, 1005 and 1006 at the lake edge are poor.

A number of other small trees such as Prunus cerasifera and Pyrus ussuriensis form groups of attractive foliage which adds to the overall appearance of the site but in the case of the Prunus cerasifera are not long lived and both are easily replaced with open rooted advance replants.

Overall, the trees on the site have little 'stand out' values either in the species selection or their health. However, the use of large numbers of Populus alba, Populus. nigra and Casuarina cunninghamiana give a deceptive impression of a well treed landscape. As well, the use of willows along the lake edge fits with the proximity of the lake but have questionable environmental values.

Conclusion

Given the above comment we recommend that all weed species such as Populus alba, Acer negundo, the Salix species and known problematic species such as Eucalyptus nicholii be removed. Also trees in the globulous group are proving to be difficult to manage and this needs to be taken into account if the Eucalyptus maidenii are retained.

The trees given an (E) exceptional (H) high arboriculture value may be retained and the remaining trees only retained where they fit within the proposed developments.

It needs to be noted, that trees of this age and situation, given a high amenity value but low arboriculture value, will pose very real problems into the future.

A program to combat the Elm Leaf Beetle should be implemented to assist the longevity of the Ulmus species on the site and the maintenance work, clearly in evidence, be maintained.

Steve Thomas B.M.S. Forestry

7 Schomburgk Street, Yarralumla, ACT 2600 Australia

Telephone:

+61 2 6281 1587

e-Mail:

sgandmlthomas@netspeed.com.au

13th May, 2014

NOTES / DISCLAIMER

This report is to be utilized in its entirety only. Any written or verbal submission, report or presentation that includes statements taken from the findings, discussions, conclusions or recommendations made in this report, may only be used where the whole of the original report (or copy) is referenced in, and directly attached to that submission, report or presentation.

Information contained in this report covers only those trees, which were examined, and reflects the condition of those trees at the time of inspection on 6th May, 2014.

The inspection was limited to visual examination, without dissection, excavation, probing or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee or expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject trees may not arise in the near future.

The findings of this report may not necessarily agree with reports prepared by others, including the Government Conservator of Trees.

APPENDIX A - TREE ASSESSMENT DATA

[4]		I		I			П						П						I		П							1			I	I	I				I			I	I		П			П			I	П		II	П	П	II		II	П		II	П	П	П	11	T
			-								44.00				H 1									-		111	11	-	200		4														**								I.						n, trees	IJ	900				
1	1	1		Table and	(manage	Marie	22		1	Districtory of	AND BRIDGE	Manager	News and			Minutes Minutes	1000		No.	Min	1	-	Patrick .	Shelle.	2			later of	James (1965)	NIA.		Ministra	No.	Armen a	MINIST	1	Patrick.	1		Total Park		Same and	Market	A COUNTY	or other Designation of the last of the la	Mental		Suffering of the			1			AND PROPERTY.		Manual A		10000				1000		MANUAL PROPERTY.	
11	Ш	H					Ш				1		H	H				\parallel	H					1																						Щ			H	Щ			H				H								\parallel
11			-		-								H	H																												-																		#				1	
11		H		-	ŀ		H				-		H	H		H				H	ŀ	-	H							H		ŀ			ı					ŀ	H								H					H	ŀ		H			#		Ħ	-		H
1		Ħ					Ħ	i				I	Ħ	H			H		Ħ	Ħ			H	Ī					Ť	1	i	i	H	ľ	ľ					Ï			H			H			H			Ħ		Ħ	Ħ		Ħ	Ħ		Ħ	H	Ħ		Ħ	Ħ
1		I	I	I	I		I	I					H	I		1	П	\prod	H	H								ľ	ļ	Ī		ļ	ľ		ļ		I					Ï				1			I		П	I		I			1			#	I	Π			
11		H					H						\blacksquare	H																																														#					
11	H	H		H			H					H	#		-	1	H	-	H	H		+	H			+			+	H		H		+			H	+		H				-	H		-		H	#		+		#		-				#	-		4		
	H	H	H	H		+	H		-	-	-		H	-		H	H							-				-			-	-					H									H		+			Н							-	-	H	-		+	-	
111		#	#	Ħ	t		Ħ	İ	İ	Ť	+	Ħ	#	H		Ħ	Ħ	#	Ħ	Ħ		+	H	H	H	H		ľ	÷	H	t	H	H		t	Ì	Ħ	H	H	Ì		ŀ	Ħ		H	Ħ	i	#	Ħ		H	#	H	Ħ	H	t	t		H	#	H	Ħ			
11		H					H						#			1		#				1												ľ			H																												
H	4	#	#	1			#			-		H	#			#		#	H			+			-		-		+	ļ.	H	ļ.					H		H			ļ.					-	#												#					
,	H	Ħ	H	ļ.	H		Ħ	Ħ	ļ		Ħ	Ħ	Ħ		-	H	Ħ	Ħ	H	H	H	Ŧ	H		-	H			ļ	4	ļ.	H	-	H	4	H	Ħ		H		-	H			H	H		H		H	H	H	H	H	Ħ		Ħ		Щ	#		ļ.	4	#	Ħ
1		H	H	H	ŀ		Ħ	ļ	ļ	-		Ħ	Ħ	Į.		+	+	H	H	H	ļ	H	H			H			-	ŀ	ŀ	ŀ							ļ.			ŀ						+	ļ.	#			H		H		ļ.	H	Н	#	4			#	Ħ.
1		+	-	-	-		H		-	-		H	H		-	H	4	-	H	-	-	Ŧ	H		-	H	-		Ŧ	ŀ	H	H	-	ŀ	-	H	H	-	H	-	-	H	H	-	H	+	-	H	-	H	H	#	H	H	H		H		H	H	H	H	-	#	H
11		H	H	H		-	H		+	-		H	H	-		-		H		-	+	+	ł	4	-	H	-				ŀ	-		ŀ			ŀ			-				-	H		-		-	H	H	H	ł.	H	H	-	H	-	Н	\mathbb{H}		H		+	H
1		#	Ħ	Ħ	H	Ħ	Ħ		+			Ħ	#		Ħ	#	H	#	Ħ	1		†	ľ		1	H	-			ŀ	t	Ħ	-	+	1	H	Ħ		H			H								#		#	H		H		Ħ		H	#	H	H		#	H
1,		Ħ	Ï	H		H	Ħ		Ť	1	1	1	#			1	H	Ħ	Ħ			Ť		1	1	Ì	1		Ť	ľ	Ť	Ħ		ľ		İ	ľ	1	İ		1	Ħ		Ħ	H					Ħ		Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ		Ħ			#		Ħ		Ħ	Ħ
11			I				1											1				Ī																												#										#		Ħ		#	
111		Ħ	Ħ	H		H	#		ļ		H	Ħ	H		-			Ħ	Ħ			ļ	H	+	H	H				H	H			ŀ	1	ļ.	ļ.	ļ	H					H		H		ļ.		#		H					ļ			H		H	-		
1		H	H	H			H	-		-	H	H		H			H	H		-		ł	H	-	H	H			ŀ		H					H	H		H					-		-		-	-		-		-	H	-	H	H			H	-	H	-	H	H
1	12				99	1	Ħ		1	100								#				#		1				1	-	55	12		1	85		1		1	H	11	1	1	44			925		3	8		2 2		15		-5	: 1			22		122	1			
1/1	83	2	×	E	91	100			11	2 1	ij		5 5	5 5	8 8	52			4	1	50	10	1	E.S	e		8 8	# S	2 0		E I	u	1		10			-		11	-	94	20	11	12		ė	2 3 3	21	1	2 1		8 0		ŀ	8 8	(0)	00	2 1	÷	0 0		11		2 2
1	10(8)					Ï				- 1	1			- 1	1			#					2 4	**							100		1			Ť	*	1	Ĭ,	11.0		4:	1	10	9-		*	- 111			77	ľ		ľ						İ	22	1		ľ	
1	Н	Ħ	İ	Ħ	H	Ì	Ħ				H	Ì	Ħ	İ	Ì		Ħ	Ħ	H	H	ì	İ			H	H	H	ľ			ľ	f				ľ	İ		H		Ì	H	H			H		Ì		Ħ		H		H	H	H		H		H		ľ		Ħ	1
1	CHEST SITE	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.			110000	WHITE OF	1	10000	0 000 m	#0004 to		1000	No.	1000	#11179 #11179	WILLIAM ST	蘑		AUGUST 1	W1000	1000	A1000	W.COO.	1000	i	1000		STATE OF	# (100k		œ	(8)8	2000	1 1000		i	1	2000	No.		100	HE	ii	88	1 100		4000		10		1000		NUMBER OF STREET		1100			蘊	80M(8 8000)	繭	1000				
I	THE REAL PROPERTY.			NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Posterior No.	2000	100.00	1000	11830	110000				100	1000	1	麒		20000	Design to	i i	LINE L	2004013	SHIP I	No.	1000	100mil	(Mark)	Tomas in	1	Ě	ij	WORT.	TOTAL ST	COLUMN AS	á	71800.07	1000	1380744		o:	200	155	22	321			27:	熈		朓		鼯	1000	91	騆		轛	鸜	Design in	TOTAL STREET	1000			1
1	1000			1		rather rather	200	of san	100	20,000	25.00	of the		1000	Marie Marie or	NA PE		111	1	non-ha	of the	114	A	-	and a	117	111	A Law Time	1111		200	A STATE OF	a contract	1111	100	1	-	Augh-	1	A LANGE	400	-	Alatha Alatha	4011	400	100	400	44	91		a seed to		111	1000	100	No.	33	ar ign	Mangala Period Sec		1000		15	555	11
	A.E					EF	2	1000	11	100	E.E.		1	2.1	1			II.			8.8	186	- 2	н	н	11	н	н	4	н	н.	2 1	1		1	E)	33	Q ⁴	8	E	F	11	11	22	12		II.	EE!	N.	4	H.	E P	A STATE	ii'	Indi	1	April 1	mer	12	ľ	681	8 8	1	ľ	3,1
1	-			10.0	111		4	A Comment		***********	1		1	Vitentia.	-	STATE OF	N.	ACCRECATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	-	A Account	Acceptant.	-	-	-				and hand		****	and the	1000	200		1000		-	Annah	100			-	100	1	-		-						-		100	THE REAL PROPERTY.	*****	-	1000		910	44	100	111	A Appella
1	55	П	П	Ш	Ш	Ш	li.	П		faction for the		1000	free	No.	long.				1	1		1	11	9 2	H	52	55	85	55	311	ЩI	12	1	American	A COLUMN	N.	11	27	4	11	11	11	11	11	100	I I	in the	111	in the	H	12		Series	111	H	1		and a	A STATE OF	- Park	133	T. Car	25	111	(Institute
1	10 20 00 00				10 May 21 A	11		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	10.00	Same of	1	4		1	2 4 4	STATE OF	THE PERSON NAMED IN		0.00	1		10000	-	-	1	4	1		440	-	A 20.00	-	Same in	B 80 114	THE PARTY		-	The same	100000	-	10000	10 40 11	10000	1000	1	12.5	110	100	1	100	100		10 May 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		1		200		100	100	100	B Per 21	報報		-
11	[13]		Œ	1	91	ĒĒ,		Est.	11	ALTERNATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE	100	Decr. Land		100	PECIA	THE REAL PROPERTY.	1	10000	2000	1	PROTO	DACTA:	10000	1000	20000	25014	STATE OF	PROFE	SHEET, AS	THE PARTY OF	SHOULD SHOULD	SHIP SHIP	10000	SHICK SHIP	- 50000	PROTE L	PACTOR	10000	Pena	2000	PACSAGE SPACSAGE	PATRICE	250,00	PACTOR	SPECIAL	155	SPACTAGE STATE	1000	Own Date	Charles Charles	PAGIN		1000	HALLIAN PROTON			STATION.		100	Married District	E41000	SAUDING SAUDING	1000	PHUM	PANDA PANDA
11	100			93			2 1	P	10					95	10	Ħ				P	10	100		THE REAL PROPERTY.	The same	5	1	11	99	100			P	99	Ť		1	\$12	1	P	1	912			100		17.00		11	555		Si i			iii	100		1				5 0	11		
11																									1		H						1,						.,																										
1			-									,				H	H																												•	#		-													4				4
þ	2.2	2 2		42	2 2	e e	4	2.2	2 2	2 2	4		4	4.	2.2	1	H		-			2 4	2 2	2.2	4	z	4		1 2		4.5	4.0		4 4			4		4 5		11	4 4	2 4	11	11	4		31.		4 4 4				2 2	4	2 2 5		2 2	44	2 2	444	2 2	F 4		
11	* * E E		10	E 2	4 1			T I		1		1		1	100		1				20	4 4 55		1	9	110					1		1	15	1	10	100	100	100	†	50	100	10	e i	11	10.8	1 1	11	12	• • • • E E	10	100	55	1 8	100	× * *	10	500			581	110	4 2		
1]	¥ #		E	E	9 0	1	100	H	2 3	11	P. P.	1	1	1	10 10	200	KS			-	40	100	100	×	9	110					# 1		村	8.5	100	101	100	100	100	50	50	100	35	ā S	1	10.8	100		8 91	S E E	53	1	55	10.00	55	888	55	555	55	100	555	15	100	100	Į.


[1]	I						I					I		I			II							I	I											I								I		I		П		I				I						Ш
		8	1											J			IJ,											J.				,							2.6						1.	J,									,					- Long
1	Dright	Territorios.			Menter	THE PERSON NAMED IN			1		10000	PONTO	MARKET	101	1	1000		and the same	TOTAL ST	a contract	MONTH OF	Total Control	1	1		-	Menta	1	-	Achite	Antherson or Anthresis or Anthr	ALC: U		BOKKING.	Name of		1000		Annual In			1	MINGS.	ON THE	1		Andreas .		THE SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRE		1	Attenda			The same			Mental	Marin III	WINDS AND
11		Щ	100				H	Ш	\parallel	Ц		H				Щ	#	H			Ц			ļ	4			Ш		Щ		ļ		Ц	H	H					\parallel	Щ	Ш	Ц		1		H		H		Ш		H		\parallel			Ш	
11	1																																					-								H				I				I						
11	ŀ		H			Н		Н		H			ŀ			4	H	ŀ			H			H	H	-	H		-			ŀ	-	H	H		H	H		-	H				-	H	H		H	H			-	H						H
11	H		Ħ	#		Ħ	#			Ħ		#	1	Ħ	H		Ħ	H	Ħ		H			Ħ	Ħ	H	Ħ	Ħ	ľ	-	•	ŀ		Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	-	i		#			Ħ		#		#		#	-	H	- 2	#		Ħ				#
i	Ì.		11	1			#	Ħ		İ	Ï	Ï	Ť	1	İ		#	İ	Ï		İ	İ	-	Ϊ	#	İ	ľ	Ť	Ï		Ï	İ	Ì	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	#	Ì	İ	Ì		#	Ħ	1	İ	#		Ï		Ï	İ	Ħ	1	İ	Í	Ш
11	-		Ħ	#		Ħ		Ħ	#	Ħ	#	#	11	#	Ħ		#	Ť	Ħ	İ	Ħ	ij		#	Ħ		Ï	Ħ	ľ		•	r	Ť	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	İ				Ħ	i		Ħ		#	Ħ	#	Ħ	#	1	Ħ		#	Ħ	#	İ		Ħ	Ш
11																															1										I					H														Ш
111	1		H	#		H	#	H		H	#	#		+	H	H	#	H	+		H	+	-	Ħ	#	H	H	H		-				Ħ	Ħ	H	Ħ			+	#			Ħ		#		#	H	#		#		Ħ		Ħ	#	H	Щ	#
-	-		Н	$\frac{1}{2}$	-	Н	H			H	H	\parallel	-			H	H	H	H		H		-	H	H		H	H		+	-	-		H	H	H	H	+	+		\mathbb{H}	-	+	H		#		H	H	+	-	H		H		H	-	H	Н	\mathbb{H}
11	1			H	-			H		H		#	-		-	H	Ħ	H	t		H		-	H	#		H		-	7		+	-						-		H	7	-	H	4	H				#				H	-	H				
1]	1			#		Щ	#		Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	#	T	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	#	Ħ	Ť	Ħ	Ħ		İ	Ħ	Ħ	H	İ	Ħ	H	Ħ	İ	İ	Ï	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ		Ħ		Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ		#	Ħ	#	Ħ	#		Ħ		Ï		#		Ħ	Ħ	#
11				\mathbb{H}			\blacksquare					\blacksquare					\parallel	H							\blacksquare																			H		\blacksquare				I		H								\mathbb{H}
** **																																									\parallel													\blacksquare		H				
1				H													\blacksquare								H																H			H]]								\mathbb{H}
[1																																																				H		\blacksquare						\blacksquare
1				4													#							H	H								4		H	H					#			#		#		#	Ц			#		#		#				#
1	H	-	H	#		Н	+	H	-	H	H	Ħ	-	H	H	H	Ħ	H	H	H	-	-		Ħ	Ħ	ŀ	H	H	ŀ	-		ŀ	4	Ħ	Ħ	H	Ħ	H	H		Ħ	H	H	Ħ	-	#	H	H	H	Ħ	-	#		Ħ		Ħ		H	H	#
1	H	-	-	-		Н	H	H		H		-	-		H	-	H	H			H	-	-	H	H		ļ	-	-			ļ		H	H	H	H	-	-		H		-	H		Ŧ	-	H	H	Ŧ	-	-	-	H		H	-	H	4	
11	H	-				Н		Н	-	H							H							H	H			H				ŀ	7	H							H			Ħ		Ħ			H	H		+	-	H		H		H		
111				#		Ħ		Ħ		Ħ		#					#	ľ				Ħ		Ħ	Ħ	H	Ħ	Ħ			Ť	1		Ħ	Ħ	#	H				#			Ħ		#		#		#	-			#		Ħ				Ш
11	H			1													H														1													1		#														
1	ŀ			Ť	5 5		2		= =				115			55			22	2		55	Z Z.	H	Ħ			÷	4	2 2			7 11						1		i		45	H	1	ł	49		Ħ		- 4		1	Ħ	11	H	: 1:		53	
2]1					# E	RNA RNA			-=-		10		4		1 1							2.0			I					9 -					1		1		92	99		88	77		2 8	1	38		11							Ï.	5 E S	40	-	2-22
1		- 0	1	#	2 5	-		H	201	ŀ	101	H	100	1	ļ.		Ħ		20		H	7-	9 9	H	ij.	41		4			2 0		4-	-		i.	ŀ		pi	1 1	ij.		-	H	. 10	H	4	#	49			-	7.5	H		#			1	
1																																														$\ $														Ш
1	NAME OF	4 mmm			A MINOR O	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1 2 0		100		100		1000	1				1	E 1			A 85.00 C	Щ	THE REAL PROPERTY.		1			1	A MINIST	1000	10000	O STREET	1000				A BOOM IS	A STREET	× 4					N SECTION	噩	A STORY O				A 10 MAR IN	1000	0.000		1		1			
1	2	100	111	뙘	表面	111	1	SEE	11	P	12		11			100		2 2	¥ .	100	M	珥	雠	飅	錬	倒	뮄	鱦	睭	11	100	1	1980	轉	H	4	4	N.	雞	N.	181	1	퇰	ij.	11	轉	100		題	155	100	100	1000	THE PERSON NAMED IN	1110	峰	SEC.	100	Target .	
-	THE PERSON	and the second		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	-	Manage and Manage and	Name and Address of the Owner, where	the tent	Whithan	Shirt Pin gar	1000		Name and Associated	Specific and		Service of Sector		The same of	The section		manual party	Manager And September 1	Total Park	Personal personal			Mary News Charles	MACHINE	114140	Annual Section	Name and Page	Manual Jan	Section 2	Special Control	B4000	The lates		Transport Total		10000	Market.	Personal principles	Manten A	1111	for beat	1000	11		11		Manager for how	1000	innert jeden		The St	Canada Canada	THE SEC.	Age and	Market Street	THE PERSON
1	COLUMN TO STATE OF	t beauty	1 treatment	1		Agricultus Sancratus		Constant or other Persons	- Control of Control o	- Control	ram referin.		Name of Street	The same	-	****	ЯĦ.	-	-		Constitute of the last	Total Control	-	1			-	- Constitution	1		ш	1000	- Constant	ALTER-		-		1	Sandaras.	Section of the last	Contract of the Contract of th	Section 1	A COUNTY		- Calendaria	-	- Anna		Indiana.	1	- constant constantes	Continue	No. 746 C		1	1000	Comment of the last		2000	
	(Special)	ferther	11	77	n	111	Inter			- London	Avenue		John	Part .	Trees	Total Control		Total	Total I	Towns or the last	freden	hate	Total Contract	Inne		Design of the last	feeds	10000		Sept.	Section	London	- Inde	- Jense	- Person	Heat			(Ametrical)	Deserve	- Tonne	lenge.	000	H	Parents.	1		(man)	(mean)	Contract	(pegma)	Total C	Twee	881	- John	2000	Jensey	lette.	female	
li	100	1000	100		10.00		1000	1		11 10 11 11		1111111	M. Phy. (2) 4 M. Phy. (1)		100	10.00		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	N. Wall	1	10 May 214		100	1		100	100		77.44.0	1000	100	10000	100					100	100	100			1000		100		100	100			1000							100		
11	PAULE CANDO	900000	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.		PACTOR	PATER S	1000	NI NI	TAIL ST	SPACE AS	PATRICE	Mar. S.	PRITARE PRITARE	24114	1000	Section.		9845149	Petto	SPACTAGE	SAMO	201100	(PACTARE)		and the	100	Belling	100	STATE OF	SPECTAR S	Section .	10000	PHICHAR	THE REAL PROPERTY.		Name of		SHEET, SH	1	PECTA I		PRINT	1000		190010		PACINE			NO.	100000	1000	200			1000	PACTOR	10000		2000
il	23	i i		肿	ži.	m		4	#		111	-	22	10		12	Ħ		SE SE			22	100		r				i	Đ Đ	ù Đ	ű ű	5 5	150			112	ijĬ	Die	10	P	ij.	al P		i	#	t D	i i i	10	100	1 2	\$59	10	H	i ii	P	111	25	111	i distri
11			10 1		7					1 1			10				I	(8/8	9(3)	7/1	ola a		2 2											j										J		T				-							T			
11				1													1											••																		II.														
1		44		44	414				44	2 2	4			4	111	4 4	1	2 2	2.0			EE	2 2	4	1				111	a z	2 2									2.5			4		2 2	44	2 4			2 2 4		223		4	22	4				
þ	-	4	- 2	-			-	-	+		-	+		+		-	#								-						•			-		-									-	H	-	-	H					H		ŀ		-	H	
1	190	P	1	Ħ	Ħ	Ħ	1	Ħ	1		Ħ	1	100	11		Ħ	1		Ħ		1	11	1	1	T		1	Ħ		1	Ę.	1	21	1	1		1	1	1	11	123	9.5	智	121	1	Ħ	48	1	样	181	1	K#3	11		NP	1	FF.	##	Ħ	

1	Martine installed and second and	Prings and Prings and Marketine Marketine Marketine Marketine Marketine Marketine	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	MANAGE	MONOTON MANAGEMENT MONOTON MON	Apriles Marine Monthles Monthles	Annual An	Manyous Manyou	Mann	MANAGE MA		Between Between And one broad	Mental of the last	Total print	TOTAL SECTION	All the later 16th
LT 15	1	******		1411					1111			Red person		6822		ě
11						##			Ш.	Ш		Ш				d
11					##	#			##	#		##				
\mathbf{H}												-				
11						##			111	Ш		##			-	
1		44444			444	##			Щ.	Щ.		##		44		
11					Ш	Ш	Ш		Ш	Ш	Н	Ш				
						\mathbf{H}			m	\mathbf{H}		Ш			\blacksquare	H
11						Ш	Ш			Ш		111	111			
11		1111111		11111	1111	##	1111	1111	1111	111	П	##	111	111	#	
1111			ШШ		1111	111	Ш	Ш	ш	ш	П	111		11		i
111					ĦĦ	卌	111	HH	111	Ш	Н	詌	Ш	Ш	Ħ	i
1					+++-	##	+++	1	-	#		+	+	+		
1]			++++	+++-	+++	+++	++	-	-	#		+	++	+-	+	
11										#	-			44		
2			Ш			111	Ш	Ш	Ш	Щ		Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
						##	Ш	Ш	#	Ш		Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	H
1				11111	1111	111	1111			111		111	111	111	Ï	
1					-				-		14	##	-11-11		-	i
11						Щ.			Щ.	Щ.		111	44	-	4	į
1				1111	Ш	Щ	Щ		#	Щ	Щ	##	111		Ш	
Ť					Ш	Ш	Ш		Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш			i
1.					Ш	##		Ш	Ш	Ш	Н	Ш		Н	\mathbb{H}	H
11					1111	Ш				Ш		111	111			ĺ
11					##	##				ш		##				1
III						111	111	Ш	111	H	Ш	##	111	11		
1					111	#	111		ш	Ш	Ш	Ш				i
11						#				##		##	114	1	1	H
111	555525553 emmented	\$5501205 \$6501205 \$6501205	BESESSE	NEGREE	20200 20200	Dalen a	B 11 12 2	- 同期 - 同期 - 日本日本日	4200	111	Н	Ш		+	Н	i
11					222-	H4500	2000				Н	ш	ш	+	Н	ł
1		-252-2-		5:0-20			522-			Ш	Н	Ш	Ш		H	i
		111111111111111111111111111111111111111			H	111	771	Ш	m	m	Н	₩	+++	+++	Н	ł
1	WEET - HISTORY	R0000000000000000000000000000000000000		11111111	400 80	c(8)8(2)1	#(4)70.90	TEN		1155	1.5	1000	E 10 51 8	44 112	- 80	ð
1	100 100	00000000									1 1 1 1			7.000 to 0.000 7.000 to 0.000 7.000 to 0.000 7.000 to 0.000		į
1		HORSE		TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TANKS	丰田田	BBBB	H	1					祖籍	A STATE OF	CHEST OF THE PARTY	20000
1	100 pt 10		111111111	88888	1,11	12111	EEEE	Market And And And And And And And And And And	EHERE	55.		111	1124	14 55	121	į
	MALES OF STREET, STREE		199999	Age and Age and Age and Age and Trinkly		1	1000	1921		134	10	411	1214	411	111	Ĭ
					111.	Ш.			Ш		Н	Ш			5	
1		Confidence common Confidence c	1000	Contract contract Con			Ш	1000000			4,4	Table for	A STATE	411	1	OPT-DEED
	September 1	Party Control		Long Linds Linds Linds		1000	100	toni toni		100	2.1	114		22 22		1
]ı	1011111111	4554454	949199	912414	44114		1666			199	111		1194	444		1000
	111111111	25200000					2000			E E E E	111		2000		1 1 1	Ser.
11	ENGLESCO ENGLES	REPRESE		PACING PROPER PR	POOR POOR		2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200		1000		STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN			10000	BACK CANADA	Ñ
11	5055000500	FFFFFFFFF	endelejeje e	010511	1000	HERRI	1195	10 11 11	1919	225	102	111		and the		1
1	alalalalalalalala	E LIVE LE LE LE	111111		1111	1	1111	1111		1	111	111	n distri	1111	**	Ì
11			11111		••••		****		+	**	•••	-2.0				i
		****						2210								-
1	22222200				2222		200				4 3 5	200			4 8 2	e
1							ere-	5055								
jı.	CERUSINALIN			SHEETS							E11. TO	NAME OF	100 110			

APPENDIX B - TREE ASSESSMENT DRAWINGS

TREE ASSESSMENT WEST BASIN CITY TO LAKE

PROJECT No. 14/1043 ISSUE DATE: 10.07.2014 ISSUE: B



THEE ASSESSMENT DRAWINGS DRAWING SCHEDULE

Drawing NO. **\$3333**

ATT SAT

SPACEL AB

THESE DRAWINDS ARE TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH CITY TO LAKE WEST BASIN THESE ASSESSMENT DATA SHEETS - THESE ASSESSMENT DATA SHEETS

CONSULTANT













WEST BASIN - CITY TO LAKE - KEY MAP


⟨z




























APPENDIX C - TREE ASSESSMENT SHEETS



SUMMARY						
		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	983	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	Р	
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect	SPACELAR		АВ		KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species	Salix babylonica					
Common Name	Weeping V		g Willow			
LOCATION			100			
E: 210559.7900	0000	0001	N: 60	2732		
Height (M)	12		Cano	py (M)	12	
Trunk Circum	20	00	No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			200	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROU	7	992	
			REGULAT		-	Y	
			REGISTER	ED TRE		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT					
- 1	ARBO	DRCULTI	JRAL ASSE	SSMEN		Р	
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMEN	r	M	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	7.	NAME			AIN AND SE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST				REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				KEIVI	
GENERAL TRI	EEC	ATA					
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	ay 2014				
Species		Salix b	abylonica				
Common Name		Weepi	ng Willow				
LOCATION							
E: 210577.5			N: 60	2735.1	2		
Height (M)	12		Cano	py (M)		16	
Trunk Circum	19	00	No o	f Trunks		1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			Ī		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISI	(2		
POTENTIAL TO	MP	ROVE AN	MENITY		2		
NOTES							

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
nsect Attack	3		
Disease	3		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Folerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	2		
Health / Condition	2		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	993
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Р
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N	IAME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect	10	SPACELAB			KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA		***************************************	
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Salix babylonica			
Common Name		Weepin	g Willow		
LOCATION					
E: 210581.7699	9999	9999	N: 60	2731.489	99999999
Height (M)	15		Cano	ру (М)	19
Trunk Circum	26	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	2	
NOTES				- 10	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	111



		TREE	NUMBER,	GROUP	994
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Р
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	2000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		PEIN
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA		II X	
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Populus	deltoides	i	
Common Name		Cottony	vood		
LOCATION			II.		1000
E: 210583.1499	9999	9999	N: 60	2797.979	99999998
Height (M)	14		Cano	ру (М)	12
Trunk Circum	15	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	161



SUMMARY					
		TREE	NUMBER,	/GROUP	995
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Y
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	P
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	М
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	The second state of the second	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Populus	alba		
Common Name		White poplar			
LOCATION					IX.
E: 210571.4899	9999	9999	N: 60	2787.63	
Height (M)	14		Cano	py (M)	12
Trunk Circum	15	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	T E		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2	
NOTES				- "	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	44




		TREE N	JMBER/G	ROUP	996
		RI	GULATE	TREE	Υ
		RE	GISTERE	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT		D	
	ARBO	ORCULTURA	AL ASSESS	MENT	Р
	URB	AN AMENIT	Y ASSESS	MENT	М
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAI	ME	1000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST		REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		INCIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2	014		
Species		Populus d	eltoides		
Common Name		Cottonwood			
LOCATION	Ш		U.S.		
E: 210576.12	.00		N: 602	782.839	99999997
Height (M)	13		Canopy	(M)	12
Trunk Circum	14	00	No of T	runks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AME	YTIV	2	
NOTES				-	

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	997
		- Landarda	REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Р
i i	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014		
Species		Populus deltoides			
Common Name		Cottony	vood		
LOCATION					
E: 210576.7099	9999	9999	N: 60	2774.410	00000003
Height (M)	15		Cano	py (M)	16
Trunk Circum	23	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	ENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER,	/GROUP	998	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	P	
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	М	
RECOMMENDATI	ON		IAME	2000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Populu:	s deltoides			
Common Name	Cottonwo		wood			
LOCATION						
E: 210574.31			N: 60	2764.170	000000004	
Height (M)	15		Cano	py (M)	17	
Trunk Circum	2000		No of	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT	NI T			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	MENITY	2		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1004
			REGULATE	D TREE	Υ
			REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	Р
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SMENT	М
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME	ALCOHOLD STATE	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			118
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Salix sp			
Common Name	H	Willow			
LOCATION					
E: 210538.44			N: 602	2892.239	99999999
Height (M)	9		Canop	y (M)	10
Trunk Circum	25	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANA	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	MENITY	2	
NOTES					IL E
Dieback and r	ot				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST	ICS
	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY						
		TREE	NUMBER		1005	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Y	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Р	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	500	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Salix sp				
Common Name		Willow				
LOCATION		TELL				
E: 210537.9200	0000	0001	N: 60	2883.770	00000002	
Height (M)	9		Cano	py (M)	10	
Trunk Circum	24	00	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	2		
NOTES						
Dieback and r	ot					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE N	UMBER/	GROUP	1006
		R	EGULATE	D TREE	Y
		R	EGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTUR	AL ASSES	SMENT	P
	URB	AN AMENI	TY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NA	ME	1 17 0 E/4 2000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST		REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Salix sp.			
Common Name	e Willow				
LOCATION					
E: 210538.7600	0000	0001	N: 602	875.530	00000003
Height (M)	9		Canop	y (M)	10
Trunk Circum	26	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK	-	2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AME	NITY	2	
NOTES				- 10	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY				-		
			IMBER/GRO		1011	
			GULATED TR	-	Y	
			GISTERED TR	EE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTURA	L ASSESSME	NT	Н	
9	URB	AN AMENIT	Y ASSESSME	NT	Н	
RECOMMENDAT	ON	NAM	IE M		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NET		
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20	014			
Species		Salix babyl	onica			
Common Name		Weeping V	Villow			
LOCATION						
E: 210538.22	201-		N: 602931.	229	99999998	
Height (M)	13		Canopy (M)	11	
Trunk Circum	14	00	No of Trun	ks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT		-		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1		
NOTES				9		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					
		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1012
			REGULATE	D TREE	Y
			REGISTER	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTUI	RAL ASSES	SMENT	Н
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N/	AME	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	AB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Salix bat	oylonica		
Common Name	20.	Weeping	g Willow		
LOCATION					
E: 210533.4500	0000	0001	N: 602	2939.290	00000004
Height (M)	16		Canop	y (M)	18
Trunk Circum	29	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
orm	4
Age	2
olerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					
		TREE	NUMBER/G	ROUP	1034
		1	REGULATED	TREE	Υ
		F	REGISTERED	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTUR	RAL ASSESS	MENT	Р
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSESS	MENT	L
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N/	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	26th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Populus	alba		
Common Name		White po	oplar		
LOCATION	LO.				
E: 210606.818			N: 6030	07.172	99999995
Height (M)	11	P)	Canopy	(M)	9
Trunk Circum	15	00	No of T	runks	2
TREE MANAG	GEN	MENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2	
NOTES	Act of the				
Rot					

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



_	TOTE	HIMADED/	CDOUD	1025
				1035
				Y No
VII		EGISTERE	DIREE	INO
100000				
			-	P
JKB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES		L
ON	N/	AME	10000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
	ST			REM
	SPACELAB			(Control of the Contr
EC	ATA			
e	26th Ma	y 2014		
	Populus	alba		
T.	White po	oplar		
0000	0001	N: 603	015.219	99999997
12		Canop	y (M)	9
90	0	No of	Trunks	1
EN	IENT			
REDI	UCE RISK		2	
MPI	ROVE AMI	ENITY	2	
	ARBONNON DE LE CONTROL DE LA C	MENT ARBORCULTUR JRBAN AMEN ON NA ST SPACELA EE DATA Populus White po 0000001 12 900 GEMENT REDUCE RISK	REGULATE REGISTERE MENT RRBORCULTURAL ASSES JRBAN AMENITY ASSES ON NAME ST SPACELAB SE DATA Populus alba White poplar D000001 N: 603 12 Canop 900 No of GEMENT	ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT JRBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT ON NAME REMANA ST SPACELAB SE DATA Lee 26th May 2014 Populus alba White poplar D000001 N: 603015.219 12 Canopy (M) 900 No of Trunks SEMENT REDUCE RISK 2

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	7



		TREE	NUMBER	GROUP	1036
		- 4	REGULAT	ED TREE	Y
		- 1	REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT		-	
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SMENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N.	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus manni	fera	
Common Name	j	White b	rittle gum	0	
LOCATION					
E: 210510.2399	9999	9999	N: 60	3263.87	
Height (M)	14		Cano	ру (М)	10
Trunk Circum	10	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TDEE	NUMBER,	/CPOLID	1037
		INCE			(35,505,05)
			REGULAT		N
TOTE 1 00000			REGISTER	ED IKEE	No
TREE ASSESS					
			RAL ASSE		М
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSE		M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	Decree College	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			116
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus manni	ifera	
Common Name	e White brittle gum				
LOCATION					
E: 210515.2399	9999	9999	N: 60	3262.109	99999999
Height (M)	8		Cano	ру (М)	6
Trunk Circum	80	0	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	U "		
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	ENITY	2	
NOTES			201		
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form The state of the state of	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	




		TRE	NUMBER	/GROU	,	1038	
		,,,,,,	REGULA		-	N	
			REGISTER		-	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT		1112		,,,,	
		W-11	URAL ASSE	SSMEN	ī	м	
	-		NITY ASSE	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	-	M	
RECOMMENDAT		-	NAME	1	RET	AIN AND SE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST				RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACE	CELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA					
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	ay 2014				
Species		Eucaly	ptus mann	ifera			
Common Name		White	brittle gur	n			
LOCATION							
E: 210508.09			N: 6	03263.2	199	99999997	
Height (M)	8		Cano	opy (M)		6	
Trunk Circum	80	0	No o	f Trunks		1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			-0.1		
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISI	<		2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY		2		
NOTES							
Nothing to no	te						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TRE	NUMBE	R/GROUP	1039
			-	TED TREE	N
				RED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULT	URAL ASS	ESSMENT	M
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASS	ESSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	ay 2014		
Species		Eucaly	ptus man	nifera	
Common Name		White	brittle gu	m	
LOCATION					
E: 210510.41			N: 6	03265.45	999999996
Height (M)	7		Can	opy (M)	5
Trunk Circum	60	0	No	of Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISI	K	2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	2	
NOTES			T to the		
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1040
			REGULATE		N
			REGISTERE		No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	м
	-	-	IITY ASSES		М
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N.	AME	3000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape SPACELAR		AB	- KET		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus mannii	fera	
Common Name		White b	rittle gum		
LOCATION			07.51	H COL	
E: 210530.2000	0000	0001	N: 603	3262.63	
Height (M)	10		Canor	y (M)	5
Trunk Circum	90	0	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	J. L



REGULATED TREE NO TREE ASSESSMENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOTE A SPACELAB Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.5100000000000000000000000000000000000	SUMMARY						
REGISTERED TREE NO TREE ASSESSMENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOTE A RETAIN AND MANAGE /			-		1041		
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMO Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.51000000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	R		and the second second	-	7.5		
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOTE A ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.5100000000000000000000000000000000000				REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMO Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.5100000000000000000000000000000000000	TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMO Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.5100000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSME			SSMENT	M		
Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.5100000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2		URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.5100000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	RECOMMENDAT	ON	N	AME	2000 SAT	The state of the s	
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.51000000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	Arborist		ST			RET	
Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.51000000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	CARLO CONTRACTOR CONTR		SPACELAB			ne i	
Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210552.950000000001 N: 603256.51000000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Common Name	Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Canopy (M) 4 Canopy (M) 4 Canopy (M) 4 Canopy (M) 4 Canopy (M) 4 Canopy (M) 4 Canopy (M) 4 Canopy (M) C	Species			tus mann			
E: 210552.95000000001 N: 603256.5100000000000000000000000000000000000	Common Name		White b	rittle gun	1		
Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 4 Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	LOCATION					Ш	
Trunk Circum 500 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	E: 210552.9500	0000	0001	N: 60	3256.510	00000001	
TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	4	
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2	Trunk Circum	500		No o	f Trunks	1	
	TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
DOTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY	POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO INTROVE AINTENTT	POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY				2	2	
NOTES	NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form L	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	-1



SUMMARY						
		TREE NUI	MBER/GRO	UP	1042	
		REG	ULATED TE	REE	Y	
					No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTURAL	ASSESSME	NT	M	
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSME	NT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAME	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST		DET		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			RET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20	14			
Species	Eucalypti		tus maidenii			
Common Name	ne Maiden'		um			
LOCATION	Ш					
E: 210563.87			N: 603252	.310	00000006	
Height (M)	18		Canopy (M)		20	
Trunk Circum	2100		No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES				100		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					
		TREE NU	IMBER/GI	ROUP	1043
		RE	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		RE	GISTERED	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURA	L ASSESSI	MENT	M
	URB	AN AMENIT	Y ASSESSI	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAM	1E	DW1000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2	014		
		Eucalyptus	maidenii	i e	
Common Name Maiden		Maiden's (Sum		
LOCATION					
E: 210532.63			N: 6032	17.939	99999994
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		15
Trunk Circum	2100		No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENI			NITY 1		
NOTES				- 10	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	2


التساعد السيف سنداط	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	




SUMMARY		TOTE N	/c			
			JMBER/G	-	1044	
			GULATED		Y	
		GISTERED	TREE	No		
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTURA	L ASSESS	MENT	М	
	URB	AN AMENIT	Y ASSESS	MENT	Н	
RECOMMENDATION NA		NAN	ME .		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST		RET		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			in La	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2	014			
		Eucalyptus	maideni	i		
Common Name		Maiden's (Sum			
LOCATION						
E: 210542.12			N: 6032	223.060	00000006	
Height (M)	16	į.	Canopy	(M)	15	
Trunk Circum	2400		No of T	runks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY			ITY	1		
NOTES				- 100		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	2

RATING
3
2
2
1
2
3
1
1
1
1






		TREE NU	MBER/GROU	JP	1045
		RE	GULATED TR	EE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED TR	EE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURA	ASSESSMEN	VΤ	М
	URB	AN AMENIT	ASSESSMEN	T	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAM	E M/	0.000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST		RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20)14	Τ	
Species		Eucalyptus	maidenii		
Common Name	N.	Maiden's Gum			
LOCATION			0.0		
E: 210540.19			N: 603233.	030	00000003
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)	15
Trunk Circum	27	00	No of Truni	cs	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	" L - 175		
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1	
NOTES					10.00

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TRE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1046	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULT	JRAL ASSE	SSMENT	М	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	М	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	200	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect	SPACELA		LAB		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	ay 2014			
Species		Eucaly	ptus maide	nii		
Common Name		Maiden's Gum				
LOCATION						
E: 210556	AU.		N: 60	3245.729	99999998	
Height (M)	9		Cano	py (M)	7	
Trunk Circum	11	00	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		11.1		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISI	(2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	2		
NOTES				- "		
NOTES Nothing to no	**					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	3



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROU	P	1047
			REGULAT	ED TRE	E	Y
			REGISTER	ED TRE	E	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTL	IRAL ASSE	SSMEN	Т	М
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMEN	T	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	1	NAME	400000	MEDIA)	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST				RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	×1			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species	, i	Eucalyp	tus maidr	neii		
Common Name	S	Maiden's gum				
LOCATION						
E: 210567.78			N: 60	3245.5		
Height (M)	17		Cano	ру (М)	Î	19
Trunk Circum	19	00	No o	f Trunk	s	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			11	
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK			2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	MENITY	DO I	2	
NOTES				-		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-83



		TRE	E NUMBER	R/GROUP	1048
			REGULA	TED TREE	N
			REGISTE	RED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	0,777		
	ARBO	DRCULT	URAL ASS	ESSMENT	M
	URB	AN AMI	NITY ASS	ESSMENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON		NAME		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect	SPACELA		LAB		NET:
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014		
Species		Eucaly	ptus nicho	olii	
Common Name	Narrow le		w leaved P	eppermi	nt
LOCATION					
E: 210582.06	112		N: 6	03248.27	000000002
Height (M)	9		Can	opy (M)	7
Trunk Circum	1200		No	of Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	К	2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	M.



SUMMARY	_				
			JMBER/		1049
			EGULATI		γ
			GISTER	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURA	AL ASSES	SMENT	M
	URB	AN AMENIT	Y ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAI	ME	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NET
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2	014		
Species			us maidenii		
Common Name		Maiden's Gum			
LOCATION					
E: 210588.81			N: 60	3244.400	00000002
Height (M)	17		Cano	oy (M)	18
Trunk Circum	17	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AME		NITY 2			
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form The Land	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	100




SUMMARY		TOCC	AULINADED	ICROUS	4050	
			NUMBER	_	1050	
			REGULAT		N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB	AN AMEN	IITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	707	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist	ST			e e	RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NC1	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
The state of the s			Eucalyptus mannifera			
Common Name		White b	rittle gun	1		
LOCATION				2 2		
E: 210600.4899	9999	9999	N: 60	3244.819	99999995	
Height (M)	10		Cano	ру (М)	8	
Trunk Circum	10	00	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AME			ENITY	NITY 2		
NOTES				177		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	






SUMMARY					
		TREE NUM	IBER/GRO	UP	1051
		REGI	JLATED TE	REE	Υ
		REGI	STERED TE	REE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURAL	ASSESSME	NT	н
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSME	NT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAME	N	100 T	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST		RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NC1	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 201	4		
Species			tus mannifera		
Common Name	01.	White brittle	gum		
LOCATION				ш,	
E: 210514.09			N: 603247	.310	00000006
Height (M)	17		Canopy (N	1)	16
Trunk Circum	1600		No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2		2			
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AME		ROVE AMENIT	NITY 2		
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST	ICS
	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1052	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Y	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	н	
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	н	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVI	
Arborist	ST				RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NC1		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014			
Species			tus melliodora			
Common Name Yello		Yellow	хоо			
LOCATION						
E: 210464.5799	9999	9999	N: 60	3262.329	99999996	
Height (M)	18		Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	1800		No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	11-11			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AME		ENITY	NITY 1			
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	4

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST	ICS
	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	A.






SUMMARY	_	TDEE	NUMBER	/CROUR	1053	
		INCE	-	-	-	
	_	_	REGULAT		Y No	
			REGISTER	ED IKEE	NO	
TREE ASSESS		-				
			RAL ASSE		Н	
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE		Н	
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N	AME	The second second	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			, KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Eucalyp	tus mann	ifera		
Common Name		White brittle gum		1		
LOCATION						
E: 210457.2600	0000	0001	N: 60	3251.060	00000006	
Height (M)	15		Cano	ру (М)	9	
Trunk Circum	13	00	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT		- 4		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY		1				
NOTES					111	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	U



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1054
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	н
	URB.	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			DET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ	RET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus mann	ifera	
Common Name		White b	rittle gun	1	
LOCATION	1				
E: 210456.2999	9999	9999	N: 60	3255.430	00000005
Height (M)	16		Cano	py (M)	9
Trunk Circum	13	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT		- 40	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future andscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					
		TREE	NUMBER	GROUP	1065
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Y
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SSMENT	M
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SSMENT	М
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME	227777777	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		NET
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Quercu	s suber		
Common Name		Cork Oa	ak		
LOCATION					
E: 210480.6799	9999	9999	N: 60	3274.150	00000002
Height (M)	8		Cano	py (M)	8
Trunk Circum	26	00	No of	Trunks	2
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMPI	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES					= 7 - 1
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	2
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	- 11




					1066
		7.000	BER/GROU	-	1066 N
	-		TERED TR	-	No
TREE ASSESSN	ACI		SIERED IR		NO
		ORCULTURAL A	CCCCCAT		
		AN AMENITY A		-	M
		AIN AINIEINITY	ASSESSIVIE	0.00	TAIN AND
RECOMMENDATIO	N	NAME	M	10000	GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KET	
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA	عبلوب		-0
Assessment Date	9	6th May 2014	4		
Species		Eucalyptus m	annifera		
Common Name		White brittle gum			
LOCATION					
E: 210488.25		- 1	N: 603258.	270	00000002
Height (M)	7	(anopy (M)	7
Trunk Circum	90	0 1	No of Trun	cs	1
TREE MANAG	EN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO R	EDI	JCE RISK	711-1	2	
POTENTIAL TO IN	ИР	ROVE AMENIT	Y	2	
NOTES	W				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1






SUMMARY					
			IBER/GROU	_	1067
		100000	ULATED TR		Υ
			STERED TR	EE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURAL	ASSESSMEN	TV	M
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSMEN	T	M
RECOMMENDAT	ON	NAME	M	3.20000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect	N	SPACELAB			NL1
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 201	4		
Species		Eucalyptus e	lata		
Common Name		River Pepper	rmint		
LOCATION					
E: 210485.03			N: 603273.	339	99999997
Height (M)	13	113	Canopy (M)	8
Trunk Circum	11	00	No of Truni	cs	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT		-	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMENIT	Υ	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
lealth / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1111
			REGULATE		Y
			REGISTERE		No
TOFF ACCEC	245	-	REGISTER	D INCL	NO
TREE ASSESS					
		-	RAL ASSES		M
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF T	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	20000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		NL1
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Pinus p	onderosa		
Common Name	2	Bull Pine			
LOCATION			Total I	T X	
E: 210472.5400	0000	0001	N: 603	3231.109	99999999
Height (M)	18		Canop	py (M)	14
Trunk Circum	21	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	+0				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	0
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY		-			
			NUMBER/	-	1112
			REGULATE	-	Y
		F	REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTUR	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N/	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	AB	KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Pinus po	nderosa		
Common Name		Bull Pine			
LOCATION					
E: 210464.13			N: 603	3239.469	99999997
Height (M)	16		Canop	y (M)	14
Trunk Circum	21	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	-	- 1	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMI	ENITY	1	
NOTES				- "	
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form The Control of t	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	0
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					
		TREE NUM	BER/GRO	JP	1113
		REGI	JLATED TR	EE	N
		REGI	STERED TR	EE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTURAL A	ASSESSME	TV	H
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSME	NT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAME	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape SPACI		SPACELAB		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 201	4		
Species		Eucalyptus si	ideroxylon		
Common Name		Red Ironbark			
LOCATION					
E: 210518.88	115		N: 603214.	430	00000005
Height (M)	7		Canopy (M)	3
Trunk Circum	80	0 1	No of Trun	ks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1			1		
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
Insect Attack	3		
Disease	3		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	3		
Tolerance to Disturbance	2		
Risk Potential	3		
Health / Condition	3		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	00



SUMMARY						
		TREE	NUMBER	/GROU	P	1114
			REGULAT	ED TRE	E	N
			REGISTER	ED TRE	E	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	JRAL ASSE	SSMEN	Г	н
No.	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMEN	Г	н
RECOMMENDATI	ON		NAME	100000000	987000	AIN AND E / REMOVI
Arborist		ST				RET
Landscape Architect		SPACE	XXXIII (KET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	ALUE			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Quercu	s palustris			
Common Name		Pin Oal	k			
LOCATION						
E: 210512.53			N: 60	3222.6	999	9999995
Height (M)	5		Cano	ру (М)		3
Trunk Circum	30	0	No o	f Trunks		1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK	(1	
POTENTIAL TO	MP	ROVE AN	MENITY		1	
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form A 4	4
Age	3
Folerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-11



SUMMARY						
		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1115	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	М	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape SPAC		SPACEL	LAB		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		Fraxinus	s excelsio	r		
Common Name		Commo	n Ash			
LOCATION						
E: 210509.3900	0000	0001	N: 60	3243.729	99999998	
Height (M)	5		Cano	py (M)	7	
Trunk Circum	10	000	No o	f Trunks	3	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1			1			
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	1
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	- II



SUMMARY						
		TREE	NUMBER	/GROU	P	1116
			REGULAT	ED TRE	E	N
			REGISTER	RED TRE	E	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMEN	Т	М
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMEN	T	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME	0.000		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST				RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Fraxinu	s excelsio	r		
Common Name		Common Ash				
LOCATION						
E: 210503.5799	9999	9999	N: 60	3233.5	89	99999997
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	e	6
Trunk Circum	11	00	No o	f Trunk	s	3
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK		1	1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY		1	
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form The State of the State of	4
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	1
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE NUM	MBER/GROU	JP	1117
		REG	ULATED TR	EE	N
		REG	ISTERED TR	EE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURAL	ASSESSMEN	TV	M
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSMEN	TV	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAME	M	20070	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KET
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20:	14		
Species		Fraxinus oxy	carpa 'Rayv	voo	d'
Common Name	•	Claret Ash			
LOCATION	"	101			
E: 210504.66	15		N: 603236.	209	99999996
Height (M)	7		Canopy (M)	8
Trunk Circum	90	0	No of Trun	ks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMENI	TY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
form	4
Age	2
olerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
lealth / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	1
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					
		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1120
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	н
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		NET
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus mann	ifera	
Common Name		White b	rittle gum	1	
LOCATION					
E: 210448.5799	9999	9999	N: 60	3265.739	99999999
Height (M)	10		Cano	ру (М)	9
Trunk Circum	12	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	IENITY	1	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te	·			

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACT	TERISTICS
	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY	_				
			NUMBER/		1121
			REGULATE		N
			REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTUI	RAL ASSES	SMENT	М
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N/	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	AΒ		
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species			tus mannif	era	
Common Name	•	White b	rittle gum		
LOCATION					
E: 210450.2699	9999	9999	N: 603	260.300	00000005
Height (M)	8		Canop	y (M)	9
Trunk Circum	95	0	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

RATING
3
2
2
1
2
3
1
1
1
1




- 120		NUMBER/ REGULATE REGISTERE	D TREE	1122 N
ARE	ENT			IN
ARE	ENT	KEGISTER		No
ARE	ENT		D INCE	NO
- 120				
UR		RAL ASSES		M
	BAN AME	VITY ASSES		Н
RECOMMENDATION	N	AME	200 M 100 M	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist	ST			RET
Landscape Architect	SPACEL	AB		1461
GENERAL TREE	DATA			
Assessment Date	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species	Eucalyp	tus manni	era	
Common Name	White b	rittle gum		
LOCATION				
E: 210452.6000000	00001	N: 603	3256.930	00000005
Height (M) 8		Canor	oy (M)	9
Trunk Circum 9	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAGE	MENT			
POTENTIAL TO REI	DUCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO IMI	PROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES		SIII		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1123
			REGULAT	-	N
		= =	REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	М
	URB.	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	The second second	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB	î	KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus mann	ifera	
Common Name		White b	rittle gun	î .	
LOCATION					
E: 210453.5400	0000	0001	N: 60	3260.670	00000004
Height (M)	10		Cano	ру (М)	9
Trunk Circum	90	0	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

2 2 1 2
1 2
1 2
2
11 43
3
1
1
1
1



SUMMARY	_				
		TREE	NUMBER,		1124
			REGULAT		Y
	_		REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	_		
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		NC)
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			TV -
Assessment Da	te	6th Mar	y 2014		
Species		Quercu	s suber		
Common Name	22	Cork Oa	k		
LOCATION					
E: 210463.2300	0000	0001	N: 60	3273.790	00000004
Height (M)	10		Cano	ру (М)	10
Trunk Circum	20	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	MP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	2
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TOES N	INADED/C	POLLO	1110
			UMBER/G		1140
			EGULATE		N
			GISTERE	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURA	AL ASSESS	MENT	M
	URB.	AN AMENI	TY ASSESS	MENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ON	NAI	ME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAE	3		NET .
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2	2014		
Species		Betula pe	ndula		
Common Name		Silver Bird	:h		
LOCATION					
E: 210386.22			N: 603	273.920	00000004
Height (M)	9		Canop	y (M)	6
Trunk Circum	85	0	No of 1	runks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AME	NITY	1	
NOTES				-77	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	3
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					Taranasan
		TREE NUI		_	1141
			ULATED		γ
			ISTERED	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTURAL	ASSESSI	MENT	P
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSI	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAME		18,000 110 11	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NET
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20:	14		
Species		Salix babylo	nica		
Common Name		Weeping W	illow		
LOCATION					
E: 210373.97			N: 6032	73.189	99999994
Height (M)	16		Canopy	(M)	14
Trunk Circum	28	00	No of Tr	runks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		3	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMENI	TY	3	
NOTES				100	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	1
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	1
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



	1168					
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Y	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
-	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Р	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	L	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME	550	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST		DEM		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			REM	
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA		1		
Assessment Date 6th May 2014						
Species		Populus deltoides				
Common Name		Cottonwood				
LOCATION	Ш		II			
E: 210596.4800	0000	0001	N: 60	N: 602994.270000000		
Height (M)	15		Cano	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	13	300 No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES					1 10 1	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form (1)	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	1

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	, I



SUMMARY			NUMBER			
	1172					
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	P	
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	L	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		ISEIVI		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			0 = II	
Assessment Date 6th May 2014						
Species	ecies Populus d			5		
Common Name	mon Name Cottonwood		wood			
LOCATION						
E: 210598.6700	0000	0001	N: 60	N: 602984.8100000000		
Height (M)	16		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	1750		No o	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 3						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 3						
NOTES						
Rot						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	1

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






	1175					
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	н	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	н	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Date 6th May 2014						
Species Frax		Fraxinu	s oxycarpa	a 'Raywoo	d'	
Common Name C		Claret A	sh	4111		
LOCATION						
E: 210567.1400	0000	0001	N: 60	N: 603200.439999999		
Height (M)	6		Cano	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	650		No o	No of Trunks		
TREE MANA	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



TREE NUMBER/GROUP					1177	
			LATED TR		N	
			TERED TR		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME		111111111111		110	
		ORCULTURAL A	SSESSME	NT	н	
	URB	AN AMENITY A	SSESSME	NT	н	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	NAME	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist	П	ST	12.5		RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2014	1			
Species	ΠĬ	Fraxinus oxycarpa 'Rayv		voo	ood'	
Common Name	mmon Name Claret Ash					
LOCATION						
E: 210568.25			N: 603190.0100000000		00000001	
Height (M)	5	Canopy (M)		6		
Trunk Circum	650 No		No of Trun	ks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERISTICS					
	RATING				
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3				
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2				
Visual/ Scenic	3				
Unique Species	1				
Habitat Quality	2				
Habitat Value	3				
Cultural Value	1				
Social Value	1				
Scientific Value	1				
Remnant Species	1				
Landscape Tree Group					



SUMMARY					
		TREE 1	NUMBER/GR	OUP	1179
		-	REGULATED T	REE	N
		F	REGISTERED T	REE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTUR	RAL ASSESSM	ENT	P
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSESSM	ENT	L
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N/	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	\B		KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Fraxinus	oxycarpa 'Ra	ywoo	d'
Common Name		Claret As	sh		
LOCATION					
E: 210568.7699	9999	9999	N: 60318	2.089	99999997
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)	6
Trunk Circum	65	0	No of Tru	nks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMI	ENITY	1	
NOTES				-12	

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	1


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	1
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1180	
			REGULATI	D TREE	N	
			REGISTERI	D TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	Р	
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	L	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	Transaction of the	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			DEM	
Landscape Architect	Landscape SPACELAR		AB		REM	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA		The state of		
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		Fraxinus	s oxycarpa	'Raywoo	d'	
Common Name		Claret A	sh			
LOCATION						
E: 210569.6099	9999	9999	N: 60	3174.709	99999996	
Height (M)	5		Cano	oy (M)	6	
Trunk Circum	65	0	No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	1


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	1
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	(4)



			LAJJE	00	
SUMMARY					
		TREE	NUMBER/GR	OUP	1181
			REGULATED T	REE	N
			REGISTERED T	REE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSESSM	ENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSESSM	ENT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N.	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	AB		KEI
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA	14/12-		
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species			arpinifolia		
Common Name	0	Smooth	Leaf Elm		
LOCATION				-	
E: 210613.1400	0000	0001	N: 60297	3.489	99999999
Height (M)	5	ŭ.	Canopy (M)	3
Trunk Circum	35	0	No of Tru	inks	3
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES				100	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY		TOEE NILIA	MBER/GRO	IID	1182
	_			-	
			ULATED TR		N
			ISTERED TR	CEE	No
TREE ASSESS	anno-d				
		DRCULTURAL		-	н
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSME		Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAME	M		FAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			ne.
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 201	14		
Species		Fraxinus oxy	carpa 'Ray	woo	d'
Common Name		Claret Ash			
LOCATION					
E: 210570.53			N: 603168.	.079	99999996
Height (M)	4		Canopy (N	1)	4
Trunk Circum	50	0	No of Trun	ks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		I	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMENIT	TY	1	
NOTES				"	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
orm	4
Age	2
olerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE NU	MBER/GRO	UP	1184
	_	The second secon	SULATED TR	_	N
			ISTERED TR	-	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTURAL	ASSESSME	NT	н
	URB	AN AMENITY	ASSESSME	NT	н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAM	ЕМ		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20	14		
Species		Fraxinus ox	ycarpa 'Ray	woo	d'
Common Name		Claret Ash	A 10.2 50		
LOCATION					, UL
E: 210570.5400	0000	0001	N: 603161.	63	
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M	()	5
Trunk Circum	80	0	No of Trun	ks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	TENT		1	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1186	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	н	
S. S.	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	Н	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect	L SPACEL AR		АВ		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA		Mary		
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		Fraxinu	s oxycarpa	'Raywoo	d'	
Common Name		Claret A	sh			
LOCATION						
E: 210570.6000	0000	0001	N: 60	3157.38		
Height (M)	7		Cano	py (M)	6	
Trunk Circum	80	0	No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
H <mark>ab</mark> itat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1188
			REGULATI		N
		- 1	REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	н
	URB.	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N.	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Acer ne	gundo		
Common Name		Box Elde	er		
LOCATION					
E: 210569.6799	9999	9999	N: 60	3152.88	
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	5
Trunk Circum	80	0	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					0 00 1

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					Toronto.
		TREE	NUMBER		1192
			REGULAT		N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTL	JRAL ASSE	SSMENT	Н
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	r	NAME	12.00 25.00 0	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NC1	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Acer no	egundo		
Common Name	2	Box Eld	ler		
LOCATION					
E: 210569.34			N: 60	3147.550	00000005
Height (M)	6		Cano	ру (М)	6
Trunk Circum	80	0	Noo	f Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	MENITY	1	
NOTES			V DI		
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY			/		
		TREE NUM	-	-	1193
			ILATED TR	_	Υ
		REGIS	TERED TR	EE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	The same		
	ARBO	ORCULTURAL A	SSESSMEN	TV	н
	URB	AN AMENITY A	SSESSMEN	TV	H
RECOMMENDATI	ION	NAME	M	. 2000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NE.
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2014	4		
Species		Ulmus parvifo	olia		
Common Name		Chinese Elm			
LOCATION					
E: 210588.38			N: 602960.	609	99999999
Height (M)	10	C	anopy (M)	12
Trunk Circum	18	00 N	No of Trun	ks	2
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT		Ţ	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMENIT	4	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
orm	4
Age	2
olerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
lealth / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1195
REGULATED TREE					N
			REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	М
3	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	L
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	HO1922	TAIN AND GE / REMO
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Populus alba			
Common Name White poplar					
LOCATION					
E: 210581.0400	0000	0001	N: 602	2964.989	9999999
Height (M)	11	.5	Canop	y (M)	10
Trunk Circum	13	1300 No c		Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	





		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1196	
		1000000	REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	М	
11/2	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	М	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		IAME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		NE.	
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Ulmus	parvifolia			
Common Name		Chinese	Elm			
LOCATION		10 0				
E: 210578.5499	9999	9999	N: 60	2961.260	00000001	
Height (M)	11	5	Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	80	0	No o	f Trunks	2	
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	MENITY	1		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future	2
/isual/ Scenic	3
Jnique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1



		TREE	NUMBER	GROUP	1198
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SSMENT	M
10	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SSMENT	L
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	1 2000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Populus	alba		
Common Name		White p	oplar		
LOCATION					
E: 210576.5400	0000	0001	N: 60	2965.219	99999997
Height (M)	12		Cano	py (M)	10
Trunk Circum	15	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	IENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	THE REAL PROPERTY.



		TRE	E NUMBER	/GROUP	1200
			REGULAT		Υ Υ
			REGISTER		No
TREE ASSESS	MF	NT			.10
White tests to be a second		1000	URAL ASSE	SSMENIT	м
			ENITY ASSE	-	L
RECOMMENDAT			NAME	RE	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB		KEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	- 11-12		-11
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014		
Species		Populi	us alba		
Common Name	2	White poplar			
LOCATION					
E: 210573.44			N: 60	2962.219	99999997
Height (M)	13	.5	Cano	py (M)	11
Trunk Circum	18	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	K	1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	1	
NOTES				1	
Weed species	8				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



TREE ASSESSM		REGULATEI REGISTEREI	TREE	N
	IENT	REGISTERE		11.00
	ENT		TREE	No
AR	LIVI			
	BORCULTU	JRAL ASSESS	MENT	Н
U	RBAN AME	NITY ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDATIO	N I	NAME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist	ST			RET
Landscape Architect	SPACEL	SPACELAB		NET
GENERAL TREE	DATA			
Assessment Date	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species	Fraxinu	is oxycarpa '	Raywoo	d'
Common Name	Claret	Claret Ash		
LOCATION				
E: 210550.600000	000001	N: 602	992.88	
Height (M)	6	Canop	/ (M)	6
Trunk Circum	650	No of 1	runks	1
TREE MANAGE	MENT			
POTENTIAL TO RE	DUCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO IN	PROVE AN	MENITY	1	
NOTES				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	1.00



		TREE	NUMBER,	/GROUP	1207
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
		- 1	REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Н
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		TAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014		
Species		Fraxinu	s oxycarpa	'Raywo	od'
Common Name)	Claret A	sh	111	
LOCATION					
E: 210550.5700	0000	0001	N: 60	3006.75	
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	7
Trunk Circum	80	0	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES				#1	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	8.



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1208	
		1,100	REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	RED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT		-		
	ARBO	DRCULTU	JRAL ASSE	SSMENT	Н	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	1	NAME	20000000	RETAIN AND LAGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species	Fraxinus oxy		is oxycarp	ycarpa 'Raywood'		
Common Name		Claret Ash				
LOCATION						
E: 210550.97			N: 60	03017.89	0000000001	
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	7	
Trunk Circum	800		No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		100		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK	(1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENI		MENITY	NITY 1			
NOTES	THE PARTY					
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	






		TREE	NUMBER,	/GROUP	1209
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	н
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Acer ne	gundo		
Common Name		Box Elder			
LOCATION					
E: 210551.8599	9999	9999	N: 60	3026.829	9999999
Height (M)	7		Cano	ру (М)	9
Trunk Circum	10	00	No of	f Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	






SUMMARY				lanaus	
		TREE	NUMBER		1210
			REGULAT		N
		areald.	REGISTER	RED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Н
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	0000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		NC1
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Liquida	mbar styr	aciflua	
Common Name		Sweet (Gum		
LOCATION					
E: 210556.4599	9999	9999	N: 60	3028.849	99999998
Height (M)	7		Cano	ру (М)	5
Trunk Circum	50	0	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT	-		- 71
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES	NY 0.				
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	n)




		TRE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1211
			REGULATE	D TREE	Y
			REGISTER	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULT	URAL ASSES	SMENT	M
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	0.000	TAIN AND
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB		N21
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA		26	
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014		
Species		Ulmus	carpinifolia	ě.	
Common Name		Smoot	h Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210616.25			N: 60	2963.81	99999995
Height (M)	11		Canor	y (M)	13
Trunk Circum	19	00	No of	Trunks	3
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	<	1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	1	
NOTES					
NOTES Dieback					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	4-1






		TREE	NUMBER,	/GROUP	1216
			REGULAT		Y
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Ulmus	arpinifolia	3	
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210618.7999	9999	9999	N: 60	2982.109	99999999
Height (M)	11		Cano	py (M)	16
Trunk Circum	16	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	ENITY	1	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	





TREE ASSESSMENT

ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M
URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M

RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOVE

Arborist ST RET

Landscape Architect SPACELAB

GENERAL TREE DATA

Assessment Date 6th May 2014

Species Ulmus carpinifolia

Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm

LOCATION

E: 210620.07000000001 N: 602991.17000000004
Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 16

Trunk Circum 1900 No of Trunks 1

TREE MANAGEMENT


POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1

NOTES

Nothing to note

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

175
2
2
2
1
1
2
1
1
1
1




SUMMARY			NUMBER,	/cnous		
	1218					
	_		REGULAT		N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
			RAL ASSE		M	
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSE		M	
RECOMMENDATION		N	NAME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Date 6th Ma			May 2014			
Species U		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210621.2300	0000	0001	N: 60	3000.180	00000005	
Height (M)	11		Cano	py (M)	10	
Trunk Circum	13	1300		f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	3






TREE NUMBER/GROUP					1219	
			REGULATE	D TREE	N	
			REGISTER	D TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB.	AN AME	VITY ASSES	SMENT	М	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist	sT ST				RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		net:		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			- 13	
Assessment Date 6th May			y 2014			
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION	×					
E: 210615.4299	9999	9999	N: 60	2991.88		
Height (M)	5		Canopy (M)		6	
Trunk Circum	650		No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1			1			
NOTES				*		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY						
	1220					
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
3	P					
	URB	AN AMEN	IITY ASSE	SSMENT	L	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		REM		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			DEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	ent Date 26th May 2014					
Species		Populus	alba			
Common Name White		oplar				
LOCATION						
E: 210609.6799999999			N: 60	N: 603003.73800000001		
Height (M)	10	ĺ.	Cano	py (M)	9	
Trunk Circum	80	00 No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			100	
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 2						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						
Rot						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARAC	TERISTICS
	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1



	1223					
			REGULATED	TREE	Y	
			REGISTERED	TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSESSI	MENT	P	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSESSI	MENT	L	
RECOMMENDATION			AME	AME RET		
Arborist	ST			REM		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			ileivi	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	THE			
Assessment Date		26th May 2014				
Species		Populus alba				
Common Name		White poplar				
LOCATION						
E: 210607.6179	999	9999	N: 6030	19.155	00000003	
Height (M)	12		Canopy (M)		10	
Trunk Circum	19	900 No of Trunks			2	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2						
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



	1225				
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME	79970000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	-15-60		
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Ulmus c	arpinifoli	a	
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm			
LOCATION					
E: 210618.1499	9999	9999	N: 60	3009.640	00000001
Height (M)	8		Cano	py (M)	6
Trunk Circum	10	1000 No of Trun		f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT		- 1	
POTENTIAL TO					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	1			
Disease	2			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	3			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



	1226				
			NUMBER/ REGULATE		Y
			REGISTERE	-	No
TREE ASSESS	ME				Hall
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M
	URB.	AN AMEN	IITY ASSES	SMENT	М
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N.	AME	0.000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species	Ulmus c	arpinifolia			
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm			
LOCATION					
E: 210626.9800	0000	0001	N: 603	3008.709	99999996
Height (M)	12	Ca		y (M)	11
Trunk Circum	16	600 No of Trun		Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES			11111		

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
nsect Attack	1		
Disease	2		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Folerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	3		
Health / Condition	2		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1



	1227					
	ED TREE	Υ				
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	М	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION NAME				TAIN AND GE / REMOVI		
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		MEL		
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	te	6th Ma	2014			
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210623.57999999999 N: 603017.91				3017.910	00000003	
Height (M)	13		Cano	py (M)	14	
Trunk Circum	19	000 No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
Insect Attack	1		
Disease	2		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	3		
Health / Condition	2		


RATING
2
2
2
1
1
2
1
1
1
1



SUMMARY						
	1228					
		-	REGULATE	D TREE	N	
		F	REGISTER	D TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTUR	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	М	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N/	AME	0.000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist ST		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NE)	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Date 6th May			2014			
Species			arpinifolia	j		
Common Name Sr		Smooth	Leaf Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210629.1000	0000	0001	N: 603	3025.719	99999997	
Height (M)	6	Canopy (M)		6		
Trunk Circum	50	500 N		Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	1
Disease	2
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form =	4
Age	2
Olerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	Le M



		TREE N	UMBER,	/GROUP	1229
		CALL STREET, SALES		ED TREE	N
		R	EGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
FIRST ENG	ARBO	DRCULTUR	AL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AMENI	TY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NA	ME	110000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAI	3		VEL
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Ulmus ca		3	
Common Name		Smooth L	eaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210620.47	_		N: 60	3027.920	00000004
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	6
Trunk Circum	50	0	No o	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES		N. T.			

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER,	GROUP	1230
			REGULAT		Y
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOV
Arborist		ST		DET	
Landscape Architect	SPACELAB		АВ		RET
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Ulmus	arpinifolia	3	
Common Name	•	Smooth	Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210626.2300	0000	0001	N: 60	3036.34	99999998
Height (M)	15		Cano	py (M)	14
Trunk Circum	19	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO					
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1					
NOTES					. 10

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	. 43



REGULATED TREE NO REGISTERED TREE NO TREE ASSESSMENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AN MANAGE / REN Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.79999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000			TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1231	
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AN MANAGE / REM Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.79999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000				REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AN MANAGE / REN Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.7999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000				REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AN MANAGE / REN Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.79999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000	TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AN MANAGE / REN Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.79999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000		ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.79999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000		URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.79999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000	RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME			
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.79999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000	Arborist		ST			DET	
Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.7999999999 N: 603045.900000000000000000000000000000000000	The second second		SPACEL	AB		KEI	
Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210622.799999999999 N: 603045.9000000000 Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 6 Trunk Circum 650 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION F. 210622.799999999999999999999999999999999999	Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
LOCATION E: 210622.799999999999999999999999999999999999	Species		Ulmus	arpinifoli	а		
E: 210622.799999999999	Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm			
Height (M) 6 Canopy (M) 6 Trunk Circum 650 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	LOCATION						
Trunk Circum 650 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	E: 210622.7999	9999	9999	N: 60	N: 603045.900000000		
TREE MANAGEMENT	Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	6	
	Trunk Circum	65	0	No o	f Trunks	1	
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1	POTENTIAL TO	8					
NOTES	NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



	1232					
		TREE NUM	LATED TR	-	Y	
		REGIS	TERED TR	EE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTURAL A	SSESSME	NT	М	
	URB	AN AMENITY A	SSESSME	NT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAME	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		, REI		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2014	4			
Species		Ulmus carpin	ifolia			
Common Name		Smooth Leaf	Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210631.91		- 1	N: 603044.	780	00000003	
Height (M)	14	(Canopy (M)	10	
Trunk Circum	16	00 1	No of Trun	ks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		Г		
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1			1			
NOTES			/ V =			

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



	1233					
	N					
	No					
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	М	
L N	URB.	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	М	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	LEVEL SECTION	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KEI		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	6th May 2014					
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210628.92999999999			N: 60	N: 603054.8499999999		
Height (M)	6		Cano	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	80	0	No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO						
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
Insect Attack	1		
Disease	2		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	3		
Health / Condition	2		

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	. 1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-11






	TREE NUMBER/GROUP			1234		
	N					
	No					
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
A W HILLS	ARBO	DRCULT	JRAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	- 7	NAME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB	В		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	6th May 2014					
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION				11.24.10		
E: 210625.25			N: 60	N: 603064.069999999		
Height (M)	5		Cano	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	1000		No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	-			
POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO						
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARAC	
	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING	
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2	
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2	
Visual/ Scenic	2	
Unique Species	1	
Habitat Quality	1	
Habitat Value	2	
Cultural Value	1	
Social Value	1	
Scientific Value	1	
Remnant Species	1	
Landscape Tree Group	L.M.	



	1235					
	Y					
	No					
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	REGISTER		110	
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	М	
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	ELAB		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Date		6th May 2014				
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210634.2600	0000	0001	N: 60	N: 603063.0999999999		
Height (M)	12		Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	16	00	No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES	O liceta					
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING	
Canopy Density	3	
Canopy Dead Wood	3	
Insect Attack	1	
Disease	2	
Epicormic Growth	3	
Mistletoe	3	
Form	4	
Age	2	
Tolerance to Disturbance	3	
Risk Potential	3	
Health / Condition	2	

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	




	1236					
	γ					
	No					
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB.	AN AMEN	IITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	ETAIN AND AGE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			DET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		-8	RET	
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA		1111		
Assessment Da	6th May	6th May 2014				
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210630.8299	9999	9999	N: 60	N: 603072.3499999999		
Height (M)	11		Canopy (M)		12	
Trunk Circum	16	No of Trun		f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	N. I





	1237					
	N					
	No					
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist	ST				RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	6th Ma	y 2014				
Species		Ulmus	arpinifolia	3		
Common Name	ommon Name Smooth		Leaf Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210627.5799	9999	9999	N: 603082.23999999999			
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	7	
Trunk Circum	65	No of Tr		f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	-10	-		
POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO						
NOTES						
Nothing to no	to					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY			NUMBER,		_	
	1238					
			REGULAT		Y	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	10.000	TAIN AND AGE / REMOV	
Arborist	rborist ST			0	RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	6th Ma	6th May 2014				
Species Ulmus		arpinifolia	3			
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION					-375	
E: 210633.39000000001			N: 60	N: 603090.7099999999		
Height (M)	10		Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	15	No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		N. S		
POTENTIAL TO						
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1 POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES					37.14	
Nothing to no	to					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS				
	RATING			
Canopy Density	3			
Canopy Dead Wood	3			
Insect Attack	1			
Disease	2			
Epicormic Growth	3			
Mistletoe	3			
Form	4			
Age	2			
Tolerance to Disturbance	3			
Risk Potential	3			
Health / Condition	2			

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



	1239					
	N					
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESSI	VIE	VT				
A	RBC	RCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	JRB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDATION NAME				19590000	ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST		RET		
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KET		
GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Assessment Date 6th May 2014						
Species		Ulmus				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210638.57999	9999	999	N: 60	N: 603098.63		
Height (M)	5		Cano	ру (М)	4	
Trunk Circum	40	00 No		f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	EN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO P						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Remnant Species	



SUMMARY						
	TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1240		
	N					
	No					
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	М	
RECOMMENDATI	ION	N	AME	13400	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		NL1		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	6th May	2014				
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210630.1400	0000	0001	N: 603100.4499999999			
Height (M)	6		Canopy (M)		8	
Trunk Circum	10	00	No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	




		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1241
			REGULATE	D TREE	γ
		- 1	REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	IITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N.	AME	0.000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	AB		NET.
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Ulmus c	arpinifolia		
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210635.6099	1	9999	N: 603	3108.660	00000003
Height (M)	9		Canop	y (M)	10
Trunk Circum	16	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		211.5	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1242
			REGULATE	D TREE	N
			REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	М
	URB	AN AMEN	IITY ASSES	SMENT	М
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N.	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Ulmus c	arpinifolia		
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210641.6700	0000	0001	N: 603	3117.310	00000006
Height (M)	11		Canop	y (M)	8
Trunk Circum	13	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1243	
			REGULAT	TED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	RED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME	the state of the s	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			DET	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		RET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species			carpinifoli			
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210632.5100	0000	0001	N: 60	03118.550	00000005	
Height (M)	4		Cano	ppy (M)	4	
Trunk Circum	65	0	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	





ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT M
URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M

REGISTERED TREE No

RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REMOVE

Arborist ST RET

Landscape Architect

GENERAL TREE DATA

Assessment Date 6th May 2014
Species Ulmus carpinifolia
Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm

LOCATION

E: 210638.10000000001 N: 603126.95999999996
Height (M) 11 Canopy (M) 11

Trunk Circum 1300 No of Trunks 1

TREE MANAGEMENT


POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1

NOTES

Nothing to note

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	80



SUMMARY		TRE	F NUMBER	/GROUP	1245	
	TREE NUMBER/GROUP REGULATED TREE					
		_	REGISTER		N No	
TREE ASSESS	NAC	NIT	REGISTER	LD INCE	INO	
In the Committee of the	20000		URAL ASSE	CCNAENIT	M	
	_	_	ENITY ASSE		M	
		AIV AIVI		The second second	TAIN AND	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NC1	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th N	lay 2014			
Species	Ulmus ca		s carpinifoli	A STATE OF THE STA		
Common Name	Smooth		th Leaf Elm	h		
LOCATION						
E: 210643.88	-		N: 60	3135.469	99999997	
Height (M)	10		Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	14	00	Noo	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RIS	K	1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY			1			
NOTES						
Dieback						

	RATING	
Canopy Density	3	
Canopy Dead Wood	3	
Insect Attack	1	
Disease	2	
Epicormic Growth	3	
Mistletoe	3	
Form	4	
Age	2	
Tolerance to Disturbance	3	
Risk Potential	3	
Health / Condition	2	


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	11



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1246
REGULATED TREE				N	
			REGISTER	-	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N.	AME	100000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape SPAC		SPACELA	AB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species			arpinifolia		
Common Name	e Smooth L		Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210640.5499	9999	9999	N: 60	3145.020	00000002
Height (M)	8		Cano	Canopy (M)	
Trunk Circum	1100		No o	No of Trunks	
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY			1		
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	133



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1247	
	N					
REGULATED TREE REGISTERED TREE						
TREE ASSESS	ME				No	
	and the land	DA.	RAL ASSES	SMENT	М	
	_		VITY ASSES		M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	19991260	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			DET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			RET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	XIII			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species	Ulmus ca		arpinifolia			
Common Name	ne Smooth I		Leaf Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210637.2900	0000	0001	N: 60	3154.839	99999997	
Height (M)	3		Cano	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	35	0	No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY				1	1	
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING	
Canopy Density	3	
Canopy Dead Wood	3	
nsect Attack	1	
Disease	2	
Epicormic Growth	3	
Mistletoe	3	
Form	4	
Age	2	
Tolerance to Disturbance	3	
Risk Potential	3	
Health / Condition	2	

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






TREE NUMBER/GROUP				1248		
REGULATED TREE				N		
			REGISTERE	D TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB	AN AMEN	IITY ASSES	SMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species			arpinifolia			
Common Name	mon Name Smooth		Leaf Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210642.9899	9999	9999	N: 603	3163.089	99999997	
Height (M)	8		Canop	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	1100		No of Trunks		1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	200			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY			1			
NOTES						

	RATING	
Canopy Density	3	
Canopy Dead Wood	3	
Insect Attack	1	
Disease	2	
Epicormic Growth	3	
Mistletoe	3	
Form	4	
Age	2	
Tolerance to Disturbance	3	
Risk Potential	3	
Health / Condition	2	

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



TREE NUMBER/GROUP					1249	
REGULATED TREE					N	
			REGISTERE	D TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	223 (180)	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape SP/		SPACEL	АВ	KEI		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		Ulmus				
Common Name		Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210639.9200	0000	0001	N: 603	3173.040	00000004	
Height (M)	4		Canopy (M)		3	
Trunk Circum	35	50 No of Trunks		1		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	






TREE NUMBER/GROUP					1250	
		F	REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
		F	REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
-	ARBO	ORCULTUR	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSE	SSMENT	М	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N/	ME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA			N. Pal	
Assessment Dat	te	6th May	2014			
Species			arpinifoli	a		
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm			
LOCATION						
E: 210642.22					99999996	
Height (M)	4		Cano	py (M)	3	
Trunk Circum	350		No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						

I STATE OF THE STA	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	.01



TREE NUMBER/GROUP					1251	
	N					
			REGULAT		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULT	URAL ASSE	SSMENT	м	
	URB	AN AMI	ENITY ASSE	SSMENT	М	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			BC1	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	· 11-			
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014			
Species		Ulmus	carpinifoli	a		
Common Name	ne Smooth Leaf Elm					
LOCATION						
E: 210651.16			N: 60	3189.890	00000001	
Height (M)	9		Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	1300 N		Noo	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			-	
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1						
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST	ICS
	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1252
		,,,,,,	REGULAT		N
			REGISTER		No
TREE ASSESS	ME			11100	
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	м
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME	73593458333	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		VEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Ulmus	arpinifolia		
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210647.8999	9999	9999	N: 60	3199.38	
Height (M)	10		Cano	py (M)	10
Trunk Circum	13	00	No of	Trunks	3
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	MP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	




		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1253	
			REGULAT		N	
	_		REGISTER		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	Name of the last o			110	
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	м	
	_		NITY ASSE		M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		NET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Ulmus carpinifolia		9		
Common Name	2	Smooth Leaf Elm				
LOCATION						
E: 210644.3500	0000	0001	N: 60	3209.069	99999995	
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	7	
Trunk Circum	80	0	No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-






RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / R Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT		TREE NUME	BER/GROUP	1254	
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT MURBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN		REGU	LATED TREE	N	
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT WEREAL ASSESSMENT WEREAL ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT WEREAL ARBORITY ASSESSMENT WEREAL ARBORIST ST RETAIN A MANAGE / R Arborist ST RETAIN A MANAGE / R Arborist ST RETAIN A MANAGE / R Arborist ST RETAIN A MANAGE / R GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT		REGIS	TERED TREE	No	
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / R Arborist ST RETAIN A MANAGE / R Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	E ASSESSMEN	IT			
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / R Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	ARBO	RCULTURAL A	SSESSMENT	M	
Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	URBA	N AMENITY A	SSESSMENT	M	
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	MMENDATION	NAME	10,000,000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	rist	ST		RET	
Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	00000000	SPACELAB			
Species Ulmus carpinifolia Common Name Smooth Leaf Elm LOCATION N: 603216.8399999 E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	IERAL TREE D	ATA			
Common Name	ssment Date	6th May 2014			
Canopy (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	ies	Ulmus carpini	folia		
E: 210650.31 N: 603216.8399999 Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	mon Name	Smooth Leaf I	Elm		
Height (M) 8 Canopy (M) 8 Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	ATION				
Trunk Circum 950 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT	the distribution of the control of t	N	: 603216.839	999999997	
TREE MANAGEMENT	ht (M) 8	C	anopy (M)	8	
	k Circum 950) N	o of Trunks	1	
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE DISK	E MANAGEM	ENT			
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK	ENTIAL TO REDU	ICE RISK	1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1	ENTIAL TO IMPE	OVE AMENITY	1		
NOTES	ES				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	100



		TREE	NUMBER,	GROUP	1255
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	The second second	TAIN AND GE / REMO\
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect	SPACELAR		АВ		NEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Ulmus	arpinifolia		
Common Name		Smooth	Leaf Elm		
LOCATION					
E: 210647.0799	9999	9999	N: 60	3227.300	00000009
Height (M)	8		Cano	py (M)	10
Trunk Circum	13	00	No of	Trunks	3
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					
Dieback					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	1
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER,	GROUP	1256
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	М
3	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSE	SSMENT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ION	N.	AME	10000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus bicost	ata	
Common Name	mon Name Southern Blue G		n Blue Gu	m	
LOCATION					
E: 210642.5499	9999	9999	N: 60	3235.160	00000003
Height (M)	11		Cano	py (M)	8
Trunk Circum	10	00	No of	f Trunks	3
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	




SUMMARY		TOCC	NUMBER	/CBOI	ın	1257	
	_	IKEE		-		HI MARCOCO.	
			REGULAT			N	
	and the same of		REGISTER	RED TR	EE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT					
- 1	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSME	TV	M	
100	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSME	TV	M	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME	M		TAIN AND GE / REMOVI	
Arborist		ST				RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB				NET.	
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA					
Assessment Dat	te	6th May	2014				
Species		Eucalyp	tus bicos	tata			
Common Name	W.,	Souther	n Blue G	um			
LOCATION							
E: 210641.6000	0000	0001	N: 60	03240.	87		
Height (M)	10		Cano	ру (М)	6	
Trunk Circum	80	0	Noo	f Trun	ks	3	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT		75			
POTENTIAL TO I	REDI	JCE RISK			1		
POTENTIAL TO I	MPI	ROVE AM	ENITY	11.0	1		
NOTES							

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	- 11






SUMMARY	_				
		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1258
			REGULATE	D TREE	Y
		- 1	REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTUI	RAL ASSES	SMENT	M
	URB.	AN AMEN	IITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	3175000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NC)
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalypt	tus bicosta	ata	
Common Name	2	Souther	n Blue Gui	m	
LOCATION					
E: 210636.3599	9999	9999	N: 603	3240.839	99999997
Height (M)	13		Canop	oy (M)	7
Trunk Circum	13	00	No of	Trunks	3
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMEN		ENITY	NITY 1		
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST	RATING	
	KATING	
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2	
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2	
Visual/ Scenic	2	
Unique Species	1	
Habitat Quality	2	
Habitat Value	2	
Cultural Value	1	
Social Value	1	
Scientific Value	1	
Remnant Species	1	
Landscape Tree Group	H	




		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1259	
		7,1,22	REGULAT		γ	
			REGISTER		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
1	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	P	
EUI - LUX	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME	(CCC 12074)	TAIN AND AGE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Dat	te	6th Mar	y 2014			
Species		Eucalyptus bi		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON OF T		
Common Name		Souther	rn Blue Gu	ım		
LOCATION						
E: 210630.9800	0000	0001	N: 60	3234.91	00000003	
Height (M)	13		Cano	py (M)	8	
Trunk Circum	13	00	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT	-			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK	A	2	i i	
POTENTIAL TO	IMPI	ROVE AN	IENITY	2		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	





		TREE NUM	BER/GROU	Р	1260	
		REGU	LATED TRE	E	N	
		REGIS	TERED TRE	E	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTURAL A	SSESSMEN	т	М	
	URB.	AN AMENITY A	SSESSMEN	IT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ON	NAME	MA		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2014	1			
Species		Eucalyptus leucoxylon				
Common Name		Yellow Gum				
LOCATION						
E: 210625.62		1	l: 603227.0	10	00000001	
Height (M)	6	C	anopy (M)		4	
Trunk Circum	40	0 1	lo of Trunk	s	1	
TREE MANA	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMENIT	1	1		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	2
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	3
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST			
	RATING		
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2		
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2		
Visual/ Scenic	2		
Unique Species	1		
Habitat Quality	2		
Habitat Value	3		
Cultural Value	1		
Social Value	1		
Scientific Value	1		
Remnant Species	1		
Landscape Tree Group	0		


I)	ΓA	IJ

		TREE N	UMBER/	GROUD	1261	
	_	5 125 5 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	EGULATE	7.00	1201	
			EGISTERE		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	23760	EGISTERE	DINCE	140	
Manufacture Contract of the		ORCULTUR	AL ACCEC	CRAFAIT		
		AN AMENI				
					TAIN AND	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NA.	ME	MANA	GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	В		KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA			40	
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		DEAD				
Common Name						
LOCATION						
E: 210638.2099	9999	9999	N: 603	235.75		
Height (M)	0		Canop	y (M)	0	
Trunk Circum	0		No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		0		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AME	NITY	0		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	0
Canopy Dead Wood	0
nsect Attack	0
Disease	0
Epicormic Growth	0
Mistletoe	0
Form	0
Age	0
Tolerance to Disturbance	0
Risk Potential	0
Health / Condition	0

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	0
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	0
Visual/ Scenic	0
Unique Species	
Habitat Quality	0
Habitat Value	0
Cultural Value	0
Social Value	0
Scientific Value	0
Remnant Species	0
Landscape Tree Group	- ii






		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1262
			REGULAT	ED TREE	γ
		7	REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AME	VITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	Manager 60	TAIN AND
Arborist		ST		RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NL1
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species	Eucalyptus bicostata				
Common Name	Southern B		rn Blue Gu	im	
LOCATION					
E: 210617.7999	9999	9999	N: 60	3227.62	
Height (M)	7		Cano	py (M)	6
Trunk Circum	80	0	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	2	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST	ics
	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TOFF	NUMBER/	CRUID	1263
			REGULATE		Y Y
			EGISTERE		No
TREE ASSESS	NAC		EGISTERE	DIREE	IVO
	000000000	ORCULTUR	AL ACCEC	CRAENIT	Р
	_	AN AMEN			M
					TAIN AND
RECOMMENDATI	ION	NA.	ME		GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			ILLIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			- 1
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Populus	alba		
Common Name		White po	plar		
LOCATION					
E: 210568.3200	0000	0001	N: 602	803.13	
Height (M)	9		Canop	y (M)	12
Trunk Circum	13	00	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AME	NITY	2	

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY						
		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1264	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
1	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME	7500	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			DET	
Landscape SPACELA		АВ		RET		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species		Eucalyp	tus bicost	ata		
Common Name		Souther	rn Blue Gu	ım		
LOCATION						
E: 210616.34	-		N: 60	3224.910	00000003	
Height (M)	11		Cano	py (M)	6	
Trunk Circum	80	0	Noo	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	2		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



SUMMARY					I Distriction	
		TREE	NUMBER		1265	
			REGULAT		N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSES	SSMENT	P	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	95955555	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		Populus	deltoides			
Common Name		Cottony	vood			
LOCATION						
E: 210580.06			N: 60	2806.439	99999994	
Height (M)	11		Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	1200		No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2		
NOTES						
Dieback						

	RATING		
Canopy Density	2		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
Insect Attack	2		
Disease	2		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	2		
Health / Condition	3		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TRE	E NUMBER,	GROUP	1266	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULT	URAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB	AN AM	ENITY ASSES	SMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	2000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscane		SPACELAB			NE.	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014			
Species		Eucaly	ptus bicost	ata		
Common Name		South	ern Blue Gu	m		
LOCATION						
E: 210612.78			N: 60	3226.219	99999997	
Height (M)	11		Cano	py (M)	2	
Trunk Circum	70	0	No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	K	2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	2		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-




SUMMARY		TOEF N	INADED	/CDOUD	1200
SUPERADO		NUMBER/GROUP REGULATED TREE		1268	
					Y
TD = 1 444 F44			GISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ACC.				
	-	DRCULTURA			н
	URB	AN AMENI	Y ASSE		Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAI	ME		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect	SPACELAE		3		,,,,,
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2	2014		
Species	Eucalypti		s bicost	tata	
Common Name	Southern		Blue G	ım	
LOCATION					
E: 210610.0799	9999	9999	N: 60	3229.78	00000003
Height (M)	13		Canopy (M)		8
Trunk Circum	1450		No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK	IIV.	2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AME	YTIV	2	
NOTES	1				
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
Insect Attack	3		
Disease	3		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	2		
Risk Potential	2		
Health / Condition	3		

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TREE NUM	BER/GROU	JP	1270
REGULATED TREE				N	
REGISTERED TREE				No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARB(ORCULTURAL A	SSESSMEN	TV	Р
	URB	AN AMENITY A	SSESSMEN	T	L
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAME	MA	100000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST		REM	
Landscape SPACE		SPACELAB		KEM	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA		Щ	
Assessment Da	te	6th May 2014	1		
Species			us mannifera		
Common Name	2	White brittle	gum		
LOCATION					
E: 210588.56		1	N: 603218.	87	
Height (M)	8	0	Canopy (M)		3
Trunk Circum	650		No of Trunks		1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT		Ť	
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2					
NOTES		/3			

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	2
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1



REGULATED TREE NO REGISTERED TREE NO TREE ASSESSMENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT PURBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A			TREE	NUMBER	R/GROU	P	1272
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT PURBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / RI Arborist ST REMANAGE Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	REGUL		REGULA	TED TRE	E	N	
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT L RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / RE Arborist ST REN Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	REGISTERED TREE					No	
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A MANAGE / RETAIN A RETAIN A MANAGE / R	TREE ASSESSM	1E	NT				
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN A MANAGE / RI Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	Al	RBC	DRCULT	JRAL ASSI	ESSMEN	Т	Р
Arborist ST REM Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	U	RB.	AN AME	NITY ASSI	ESSMEN	Т	L
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	RECOMMENDATIO	N		NAME	112300	0000	The state of the s
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	Arborist		ST			DEM	
Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	C1/08/11/09/01/17/00-		SPACE	LAB		KEIVI	
Species Eucalyptus sp. Common Name Gum LOCATION N: 603219.29000000 E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	GENERAL TRE	E C	ATA				
Common Name Gum LOCATION N: 603219.29000000 E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	Assessment Date		6th Ma	ay 2014			
LOCATION E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	Species	Eucalyptu		ptus sp.			
E: 210580.66 N: 603219.29000000 Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	Common Name	Gum					
Height (M) 5 Canopy (M) 3 Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	LOCATION						
Trunk Circum 350 No of Trunks 1	E: 210580.66			N: 6	03219.2	90	00000004
Christian College Co. Control College	Height (M)	5		Can	anopy (M)		3
TREE MANAGEMENT	Trunk Circum	350		No	of Trunks		1
	TREE MANAGE	EIV	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	POTENTIAL TO R	EDI	JCE RISI	(1	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1	POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 1				1		
NOTES	NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	1
Canopy Dead Wood	1
Insect Attack	1
Disease	1
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	3
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	1
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	1


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	1
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	10



TREE NUMBER/GROUP				1273	
	N				
REGISTERED TREE					No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	М
	URB.	AN AME	VITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NE I
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species	Eucalyptus maid			nii	
Common Name	Maiden's Gum				
LOCATION				-	
E: 210575.3999	9999	9999	N: 60	3226.150	00000002
Height (M)	9		Cano	Canopy (M)	
Trunk Circum	1300		No of	No of Trunks	
TREE MANA	GEN	TENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY				1	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	




TREE NUMBER/GF			/GROUP	1274		
REGULATED TREE						
REGISTERED TREE					No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULT	URAL ASSE	SSMENT	P	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	PER CONTRACTOR	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	ay 2014			
Species	Populus al		ıs alba			
Common Name		White popla				
LOCATION						
E: 210588.59			N: 60	2840.459	99999996	
Height (M)	14		Cano	ру (М)	12	
Trunk Circum	1700		No o	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		-		
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISI	(2		
			2			
NOTES				Buil		
Weed species	die	back				

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	2		
Insect Attack	3		
Disease	2		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	2		
Health / Condition	2		

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	





		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1275
			REGULAT	ED TREE	Y
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N	AME	The second of th	ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	SPACELAB RE		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus maide	nii	
Common Name		Maiden	's Gum		
LOCATION					
E: 210572.7999	9999	9999	N: 60	3233.5	
Height (M)	15		Cano	py (M)	14
Trunk Circum	19	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMPI	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
orm	4
Age	2
olerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	2

3 2 2 1
2
1
2
3
1
1
1
1




SUMMARY		TRE	E NUMBER	/GROUP	1276	
	_	INC	REGULAT		Y Y	
			REGISTER		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	REGISTER	ED INEE	140	
	Section 1	100000	URAL ASSE	CCRACNIT	P	
			NITY ASSE		M	
	1000	AIV AIVIE	INITI ASSE		TAIN AND	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	144400000	GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape SPACELA		LAB		KEW		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014			
Species		Populi	us deltoide:	5		
Common Name		Cottor	wood			
LOCATION						
E: 210593.53			N: 60	2850.219	99999997	
Height (M)	12		Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	1550		No o	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	K	2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	2		
NOTES						
Dieback						

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	






		TREE	NUMBER,	GROUP	1277
		170035	REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	The second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a second section in the second section in the second section is a section in the second section in the section is a section in the section in the section in the section is a section in the s	TAIN AND AGE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST		RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus maide	nii	
Common Name		Maiden	's Gum		
LOCATION				L	
E: 210575.1099	9999	9999	N: 60	3216.06	00000006
Height (M)	11		Cano	py (M)	10
Trunk Circum	14	50	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT	11.		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING	
Canopy Density	3	
Canopy Dead Wood	3	
nsect Attack	3	
Disease	2	
Epicormic Growth	3	
Mistletoe	3	
form	4	
Age	2	
Tolerance to Disturbance	2	
Risk Potential	2	
Health / Condition	3	


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1278
			REGULATI	D TREE	N
			REGISTER	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	P
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSES	SMENT	L
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	2.0000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape SPACELAE		AB		NEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Populus	deltoides		
Common Name		Cottony	vood		
LOCATION					
E: 210595.3599	9999	9999	N: 60	2861.239	99999999
Height (M)	8		Cano	y (M)	6
Trunk Circum	14	50	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	2	
NOTES					
NOTES Nothing to no	te				

	RATING	
Canopy Density	1	
Canopy Dead Wood	1	
Insect Attack	3	
Disease	3	
Epicormic Growth	3	
Mistletoe	3	
Form	4	
Age	2	
Tolerance to Disturbance	3	
Risk Potential	2	
Health / Condition	1	


RATING
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1
1



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1279
			REGULATE	D TREE	Υ
			REGISTER	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	P
L. ELIZANTE	URB	AN AMEN	IITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N.	AME	3000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist	ST				REM
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB		KEW	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species	Populus a		alba		
Common Name	ne White po		oplar		
LOCATION					
E: 210598.2000	0000	0001	N: 602	2883.709	99999996
Height (M)	14		Cano	Canopy (M)	
Trunk Circum	1800		No of	No of Trunks	
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY			2		
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	2
nsect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1280	
		3.610.003.63	REGULATE	SHOW THE PARTY OF	Y	
			REGISTER	D TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			Lacora -	
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	P	
BLUE IN	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SMENT	М	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	150000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect	Ы	SPACELAB			KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014			
Species	The second secon		oulus alba			
Common Name	me White p		oplar			
LOCATION						
E: 210593.2300	0000	0001	N: 602	2890.859	99999999	
Height (M)	13		Canor	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	1600		No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY			2			
NOTES						
Weed species	. die	back				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

ndscape uture	2
uture	
	2
	2
	1
	2
	2
	1
	1
	1
	1



SUMMARY		TDEE	NUMBER,	CROUR	1281	
		INCE	REGULAT	-	Y Y	
	_		REGISTER		No	
TREE ASSESS	DAC		REGISTER	ED IKEE	INO	
	STATE OF THE PARTY.	(10,17)	DA1 4665	CCLAFAIT		
			RAL ASSE		P M	
March of Black Bases of	(42/44/1)	AN AIVIE	NITY ASSE		TAIN AND	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	GE / REMOV	
Arborist	ST				REM	
Landscape Architect	SPACELA		AB		KLW	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014			
Species	Populus a		s alba			
Common Name		White p	oplar			
LOCATION						
E: 210599.6099	9999	9999	N: 60	2893.390	00000001	
Height (M)	15		Cano	Canopy (M)		
Trunk Circum	2200		No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY 2			2			
NOTES						

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS			
	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	2		
Insect Attack	3		
Disease	2		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	2		
Health / Condition	2		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-14




SUMMARY		TOCC	AULBARES	ICROUS	1285
-cm+r2415-c6-01		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	NUMBER/GROUP		
			REGULAT		Y
REGISTERED TO				ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
			RAL ASSE		M
	URB.	AN AME	VITY ASSE	_	M
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	00000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			ne.
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te 6th May 2014				
Species	10	Populus alba			
Common Name		White poplar			
LOCATION					
E: 210516.2999	9999	9999	N: 60	3181.510	00000001
Height (M)	12		Cano	ру (М)	10
Trunk Circum	1800		No o	No of Trunks	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		2	
			2		
NOTES					
Weed species					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

2
-
2
2
1
2
2
1
1
1
1





		TREE NU	MBER/G	ROUP	1286
		RE	GULATED	TREE	Υ
		REC	SISTERED	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTURA	L ASSESS	MENT	н
	URB	AN AMENIT	Y ASSESS	MENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAM	E	Departs of the	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST		RET	
Landscape Architect	SPACELA			, NEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	DATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20	014		
Species		Populus all	oa		
Common Name	e White po		lar		
LOCATION			-		
E: 210510.94			N: 6031	192.489	99999999
Height (M)	10	.5	Canopy	(M)	16
Trunk Circum	2100		No of Trunks		1
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			1,11
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	J. J.



		TRE	E NUMBER,	/GROUP	1291	
		1144	REGULAT		N	
	_		REGISTER		No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	NEGISTER	LD TREE	140	
	no file		URAL ASSE	CENTENIT	н	
			NITY ASSE		Н	
					TAIN AND	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	10000000	GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			1353	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014			
Species	Acer negundo					
Common Name	Box Elder		der			
LOCATION						
E: 210558.53			N: 60	3076.910	00000003	
Height (M)	7		Cano	ру (М)	6	
Trunk Circum	650		No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT	1 11 11			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	K	1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	1		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	2




		TREE	NUMBER,	/GROUP	1292	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
		1.	REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	RCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	н	
	URB.	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		Acer ne	gundo			
Common Name		Box Eld	er			
LOCATION						
E: 210557.4899	9999	9999	N: 60	3071.829	99999996	
Height (M)	7		Cano	py (M)	6	
Trunk Circum	650		No o	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY			1			
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	






		TREF	NUMBER/	GROUP	1293	
		14000 (MACO)	REGULAT		N	
			REGISTER		No	
TREE ASSESS	MF	_	LOISTEIL	LD THEE	140	
	on the same	ORCULTUR	RAI ASSES	SMENT	н	
		AN AMEN			н	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N/	AME	0.004.000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST		-	RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELA	AB		WEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	1	1		
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species	Acer negundo					
Common Name	ne Box Elder		r			
LOCATION						
E: 210555.6700	0000	0001	N: 60	3057.650	00000002	
Height (M)	7.5	5	Cano	py (M)	7	
Trunk Circum	65	0	No of	No of Trunks		
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK	. III	1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1		
	-	AL PARTY OF	50 KG			

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	2011



SUMMARY						
		TREE	NUMBER,	/GROUP	1294	
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	н	
H	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	н	
RECOMMENDATI	ON	N	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape		SPACEL	АВ		KEI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014			
Species		Acer negun				
Common Name		Box Elder				
LOCATION						
E: 210554.7300	0000	0001	N: 60	3053.180	00000005	
Height (M)	7.5	5	Cano	py (M)	6	
Trunk Circum	80	00 No of		Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMPI	ROVE AM	ENITY	1		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

RATING
3
2
3
1
2
3
1
1
1
1



SUMMARY		TDE	E NUMBER,	GROUP	1295	
		INC	REGULAT		N 1295	
	_		REGISTER		No	
TOFF ACCEC	NAC	NIT	REGISTER	ED INEE	140	
TREE ASSESS	Service Co.	200000	1041 4655			
			URAL ASSES		Н	
	UKB	AN AIVIE	NITY ASSES		H TAIN AND	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	100 100 100 100 100	GE / REMOV	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NE)	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014			
Species	Acer negundo					
Common Name	Box Elder		der			
LOCATION						
E: 210553.34			N: 60	3043.520	00000002	
Height (M)	7.5	5	Cano	ру (М)	10	
Trunk Circum	10	00	No of	Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	K	1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	1		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
nsect Attack	3		
Disease	3		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	3		
Health / Condition	3		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	Del 1



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1296
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
		- 1	REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	Н
	URB.	AN AMEN	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	11000000	ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			DET
Landscape Architect SPACEL		АВ		RET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014		
Species	Liquidam		mbar styraciflua		
Common Name		Sweet 0	Sum		
LOCATION					
E: 210557.3500	0000	0001	N: 60	3038.83	999999997
Height (M)	6		Cano	py (M)	4
Trunk Circum	60	0	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

ARBORICULTURAL CHARACTERISTICS			
	RATING		
Canopy Density	3		
Canopy Dead Wood	3		
Insect Attack	3		
Disease	3		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	3		
Health / Condition	3		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future andscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	3
Jnique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1



SUMMARY		TOTEL	AADED/C:	20115	
			MBER/G		1520
			GULATED		Y
	202		GISTERED	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTURA	L ASSESSI	MENT	P
	URB	AN AMENIT	Y ASSESSI	Olivery and the	L
RECOMMENDAT	ION	NAM	IE		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST		REM	
Landscape SPACELAB				KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May 20	014		
Species		Casuarina	cunningha	miana	P
Common Name			ak		
LOCATION					
E: 210437.88			N: 6033	42.329	99999996
Height (M)		.60000000 00001	Canopy	(M)	8
Trunk Circum	19	00	No of Trunks		1
TREE MANAG	GEN	TENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMEN	ITY	1	
NOTES					
Almost dead					

	RATING		
Canopy Density	1		
Canopy Dead Wood	1		
Insect Attack	3		
Disease	1		
Epicormic Growth	3		
Mistletoe	3		
Form	4		
Age	2		
Tolerance to Disturbance	3		
Risk Potential	1		
Health / Condition	1		


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



URB RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE [ST SPACEL	REGULAT REGISTER RRAL ASSE NITY ASSE NAME	SSMENT SSMENT RE	P L TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
ARBOURB RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE I	ST SPACEL	RAL ASSE NITY ASSE	SSMENT SSMENT RE	P L TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
ARBOURB RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE I	ST SPACEL	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	L TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
URB RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE [ST SPACEL	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	L TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
RECOMMENDATION Arborist Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE I	ST SPACEL	IAME	RE	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE I	ST SPACEL		1,000	GE / REMOVE
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE I	SPACEL	АВ		REM
Architect GENERAL TREE [AB	-	REIVI
	DATA) (0.778557))
	DATA			
Assessment Date	26th M	ay 2014		
Species	Casuari	na cunnin	ghamiana	
Common Name	River o	ak		
LOCATION				
E: 210429.5549999	9999	N: 60	3344.966	99999995
Height (M) 14	1	Cano	py (M)	6
Trunk Circum 12	200	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAGEN	MENT	10.0		
POTENTIAL TO RED	UCE RISK		3	
POTENTIAL TO IMP	ROVE AN	MENITY	3	
NOTES				

	RATING
Canopy Density	1
Canopy Dead Wood	1
nsect Attack	3
Disease	1
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	.4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	1

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



TREE ASSESSM		REGULATI REGISTERI		1525 N
	ITAIT	REGISTER		
	ICAIT	********	ED TREE	No
AF	IEIVI			
	BORCULT	URAL ASSES	SMENT	P
UI	RBAN AM	ENITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDATIO	N	NAME		TAIN AND
Arborist	ST			RET
Landscape Architect	SPACE	LAB		KEI
GENERAL TREE	DATA			
Assessment Date	6th M	ay 2014		
Species	Eucaly	ptus bicosta	ata	
Common Name	South	ern Blue Gu	m	
LOCATION				
E: 210425.459999	99999	N: 60	3346.260	00000001
Height (M)	10.5	Cano	py (M)	4
Trunk Circum	600	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAGE	MENT			
POTENTIAL TO RE	DUCE RIS	К	1	
POTENTIAL TO IN	PROVE A	MENITY	1	
NOTES				

To Top I have been a	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	- Tr






SUMMARY					
		TREE	NUMBER,	GROUP	1526
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	P
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	L
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N	AME	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST		, , ,	REM
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		NEIVI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014		
Species		Casuari	na cunnin	ghamiana	
Common Name		River Sh	neoak		
LOCATION					
E: 210422.4800	0000	0001	N: 60	3348.459	99999996
Height (M)	5		Cano	py (M)	7
Trunk Circum	60	0	No of	Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	3
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TRE	E NUMBER	/GROUE	1527
	_	INL	REGULAT	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	-
			REGISTER		
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	REGISTER	EU INE	1140
All Alexander Control	Section 1	4600	URAL ASSE	SCAMENT	P
			ENITY ASSE		
RECOMMENDAT		AIT AIT			ETAIN AND
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	MAN	AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB		ne.
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	26th 1	May 2014		
Species		Eucaly	ptus bicost	ata	
Common Name		Blue g	um		
LOCATION					
E: 210419.546			N: 60	3346.69	700000001
Height (M)	15		Cano	ру (М)	6
Trunk Circum	10	00	Noo	f Trunks	3
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	K	- 7	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	- 2	
NOTES					
Poor form					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER/GI	ROUP	1528
			REGULATED	-	N
			REGISTERED	TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	ORCULTU	RAL ASSESSI	MENT	Р
	URB	AN AMEN	NITY ASSESSI	MENT	L
RECOMMENDATI	ION	N	AME	Account 1977	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		NEW
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Casuarin	na cunningha	amiana	
Common Name		River Sh	eoak		
LOCATION					
E: 210417.5499	9999	9999	N: 6033	48.339	99999997
Height (M)	6.5	5	Canopy	(M)	6
Trunk Circum	70	0	No of Ti	runks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	3
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



		TRE	E NUMBER	R/GROUP	1529
				TED TREE	Y
			REGISTE	RED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULT	URAL ASSI	ESSMENT	M
	URB	AN AM	ENITY ASSI	ESSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	7.00	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			1
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014		
Species	Eucalyptus bicostata				
Common Name		Southern Blue		um	
LOCATION					11/2/20
E: 210410.75	_		N: 6	03347.709	99999996
Height (M)	24	.5	Can	opy (M)	23
Trunk Circum	45	00	No	of Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RIS	K	3	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	3	
NOTES					
Rot at 2m and	5m	. broke	n branch		

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1530	
		2000000	REGULAT		N	
			REGISTER	-	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	P	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	L	
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N	AME	10095100	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		KLIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	2014			
Species		Casuari	na cunnin	ghamiana	1	
Common Name		River Sheoak				
LOCATION						
E: 210402.3599	9999	9999	N: 60	3347.739	99999999	
Height (M)	11		Cano	py (M)	3	
Trunk Circum	50	0	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT		4		
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	ENITY	1		
NOTES						
NOTES Poor form, stu	inte	d				

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
nsect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	3
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	35



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1531	
		100010000000000000000000000000000000000	REGULAT	ED TREE	Υ	
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	P	
	URB.	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	L	
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	AME	1522 1000	TAIN AND	
Arborist		ST			REM	
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	AB		KEIVI	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA	211			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
Species	Eucalyptu		tus bicostata			
Common Name		Southern Blue Gum		ım		
LOCATION						
E: 210401.9800	0000	0001	N: 60	3351.91	00000003	
Height (M)	18		Cano	py (M)	12	
Trunk Circum	11	00	No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		2		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	2		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
picormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
form	2
Age	2
olerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1 1
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	Sil



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1532
		-	REGULATE	D TREE	Υ
		F	REGISTERE	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTUR	RAL ASSES	SMENT	М
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	M
RECOMMENDATI	ION	N/	AME	T. Complete Vision	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST		RET	
Landscape SPACELA		ιB	- KEI		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalypt	us bicosta	ta	
Common Name		Souther	n Blue Gur	n	
LOCATION				-	
E: 210399.0799	-		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		99999998
Height (M)	21		Canop	Canopy (M)	
Trunk Circum	2100		No of	No of Trunks	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AMI	ENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	1
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



		TRE	E NUMBER	/GROUP	1533	
				ED TREE	Y	
			REGISTER	7,7,70	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
Thirty	ARBO	ORCULT	URAL ASSE	SSMENT	н	
	URB.	AN AM	ENITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	2002	TAIN AND GE / REMOVE	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th M	ay 2014			
Species	Casuarina cu		rina cunnir	cunninghamiana		
Common Name		River Sheoak				
LOCATION		110				
E: 210397.69			N: 60	3346.479	99999998	
Height (M)	15		Cano	py (M)	8	
Trunk Circum	1000		No o	f Trunks	1	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RIS	K	1		
POTENTIAL TO				1		
NOTES						
Nothing to no	te					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form 1	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	3
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
/isual/ Scenic	3
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
lemnant Species	1



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1698
			REGULATI	D TREE	N
			REGISTER	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTL	RAL ASSES	SMENT	P
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SMENT	L
RECOMMENDAT	ION	r	NAME	100000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape SPACEL		AB	KEM		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species			tus manni	fera	
Common Name		White	orittle gum		
LOCATION					
E: 210444.84	-		N: 60	3313.829	99999996
Height (M)	10		Cano	py (M)	11
Trunk Circum	80	0	No of	No of Trunks	
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	MENITY	1	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	1
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	3
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	1

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	1
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	1
Visual/ Scenic	1
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	1
Habitat Value	2
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1




		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1699
		1110000110	REGULAT	ED TREE	Y
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			-
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N	IAME	100000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACELAB			NC1
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Eucalyptus mannife		ifera	
Common Name		White brittle gum		1	
LOCATION					
E: 210431.6799	9999	999	N: 60	3293.910	00000003
Height (M)	15		Cano	ру (М)	10
Trunk Circum	10	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	JCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1	
NOTES					COVER.
Nothing to no	te				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form The Park Town	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value •	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	- Tr






		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1700
			REGULATE	D TREE	Y
		1	REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	н
	URB	AN AMEN	IITY ASSES	SMENT	Н
RECOMMENDAT	ION	N.	AME		TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			DET
Landscape SPACEL		SPACELA	AВ	RET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species		Eucalyptus mannife		fera	
Common Name	2	White brittle gum			
LOCATION					
E: 210434.0400	0000	0001	N: 60	3297.449	99999995
Height (M)	15		Canor	py (M)	15
Trunk Circum	1500 No of		Trunks	1	
TREE MANA	GEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Folerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	3

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	Ш




REGULATED TREE Y REGISTERED TREE NO TREE ASSESSMENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT P URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN ANI MANAGE / REN Arborist ST Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	SUMMARY		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1701	
REGISTERED TREE NO TREE ASSESSMENT ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT PURBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN AND MANAGE / REN Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1			,,,,,,,	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	-		
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN ANI MANAGE / REN Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						-	
ARBORCULTURAL ASSESSMENT URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN ANY MANAGE / REN Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	TREE ASSESS	ME	NT	THE CHAIR TEN	THEE	140	
URBAN AMENITY ASSESSMENT M RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN ANI MANAGE / REN Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1		and the co	V0.5.	RAI ASSES	SMENT	P	
RECOMMENDATION NAME RETAIN ANY MANAGE / REN Arborist ST RET Landscape Architect SPACELAB GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1						-	
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1					RE	TAIN AND	
Landscape Architect GENERAL TREE DATA Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	Arborist		ST			CONTRACT.	
Assessment Date 6th May 2014 Species Eucalyptus mannifera Common Name White brittle gum LOCATION E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	CONTROL AND A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE P		SPACELAB			KEI	
Eucalyptus mannifera	GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Common Name	Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014			
E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12	Species						
E: 210441.26000000001 N: 603308.88 Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12 Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	Common Name		White brittle gu				
Height (M) 15 Canopy (M) 12	LOCATION						
Trunk Circum 1400 No of Trunks 1 TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1		0000	0001	N: 603	3308.88		
TREE MANAGEMENT POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	Height (M)	15		Canop	oy (M)	12	
POTENTIAL TO REDUCE RISK 1	Trunk Circum	14	00	No of	Trunks	1	
	TREE MANA	GEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO IMPROVE AMENITY	POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK		1		
POTEINTIAL TO INIPROVE AINIENTT	POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	1		
NOTES	NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	3
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-13






		TRE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1702	
REGULATED TREE				Y		
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No	
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT				
	ARBO	ORCULTI	URAL ASSES	SMENT	M	
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSES	SMENT	н	
RECOMMENDAT	ION		NAME	The second second	TAIN AND	
Arborist		ST			RET	
Landscape SPACELA		LAB		KEI		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA				
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	ay 2014			
Species	Eucalyptus melliodor			dora		
Common Name	Yellow box		box			
LOCATION						
E: 210454.1799	9999	9999	N: 60	3284.229	99999998	
Height (M)	17		Cano	py (M)	10	
Trunk Circum	2300		No of	Trunks	2	
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT				
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISI	K	1		
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE A	MENITY	1		
NOTES						

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	3


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	3
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	-10



		TREE	NUMBER/	GROUP	1703
			REGULATI	D TREE	Y
			REGISTERI	D TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSES	SMENT	Р
	URB	AN AMEN	ITY ASSES	SMENT	L
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N.	AME	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			REM
Landscape SPACELAI		AΒ	KEIVI		
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th May	2014		
Species			tus mellio	dora	
Common Name		Yellow b	XOX		
LOCATION					
E: 210452.31			N: 60	3289.050	00000005
Height (M)	12		Cano	oy (M)	10
Trunk Circum	1300		No of	Trunks	3
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	UCE RISK		1	
POTENTIAL TO	IMPI	ROVE AM	ENITY	1	
	-				

	RATING
Canopy Density	2
Canopy Dead Wood	2
Insect Attack	2
Disease	2
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	3
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	3
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TDE	NUMBER	/CROUD	1704
		INC			14.504.00.
			REGULAT		N
			REGISTER	ED IKEE	No
TREE ASSESS	(95)05	18001			
			JRAL ASSE		М
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE		M
RECOMMENDAT	ON		NAME	All the Real Property lives	TAIN AND GE / REMOV
Arborist		ST			RFT
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB	RET	
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	ay 2014		
Species		Eucaly	ptus nichol	ii	
Common Name		Narrov	v leaved Pe	ppermin	:
LOCATION					
E: 210493.94			N: 60	3301.140	00000001
Height (M)	10	ŀ	Cano	ру (М)	9
Trunk Circum	13	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RISI	<	2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AT	MENITY	2	
NOTES					
Nothing to no	to				

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	4
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2


	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
/isual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
andscape Tree Group	



		TREE	NUMBER	/GROUP	1705
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
	ARBO	DRCULTU	RAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AME	NITY ASSE	SSMENT	M
RECOMMENDAT	ON	N	AME		ETAIN AND AGE / REMOVE
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACEL	АВ		KEI
GENERAL TR	EE C	ATA			
Assessment Da	te	6th Ma	y 2014		
Species		Eucalyp	tus mann	ifera	
Common Name	2	White b	rittle gun	1	
LOCATION					
E: 210491.3500	0000	0001	N: 60	3306.25	
Height (M)	8		Cano	ру (М)	10
Trunk Circum	10	00	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	REDI	JCE RISK	E SEII	2	
POTENTIAL TO	IMP	ROVE AN	IENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
Insect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

URBAN AMENITY CHARACTERIST	ICS
	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	



		TRE	E NUMBER	/GROUP	1706
			REGULAT	ED TREE	N
			REGISTER	ED TREE	No
TREE ASSESS	ME	NT			
-	ARBO	DRCULT	URAL ASSE	SSMENT	M
	URB	AN AM	ENITY ASSE	SSMENT	М
RECOMMENDATI	ON		NAME	245,050,00	TAIN AND GE / REMOVI
Arborist		ST			RET
Landscape Architect		SPACE	LAB		NET
GENERAL TRI	EE C	ATA		STILL IN	
Assessment Dat	te	6th M	ay 2014		
Species		Eucaly	ptus mann	ifera	
Common Name		White	brittle gun	1	
LOCATION					
E: 210486.38			N: 60	3310.790	00000004
Height (M)	6		Cano	ру (М)	6
Trunk Circum	50	0	No o	f Trunks	1
TREE MANAG	SEN	IENT			
POTENTIAL TO	RED	UCE RIS	K	2	
POTENTIAL TO	MP	ROVE A	MENITY	2	
NOTES					

	RATING
Canopy Density	3
Canopy Dead Wood	3
nsect Attack	3
Disease	3
Epicormic Growth	3
Mistletoe	3
Form	2
Age	2
Tolerance to Disturbance	2
Risk Potential	2
Health / Condition	2

	RATING
Contribution to Existing Landscape	2
Potential Contribution to Future Landscape	2
Visual/ Scenic	2
Unique Species	1
Habitat Quality	2
Habitat Value	3
Cultural Value	1
Social Value	1
Scientific Value	1
Remnant Species	1
Landscape Tree Group	